



ASIA PACIFIC CENTER FOR
EVIDENCE BASED HEALTHCARE

Should lopinavir/ritonavir be used in the treatment of COVID-19?

Authors: Ian Theodore G. Cabaluna, RPh, MD, GDip (Epi) ; Michelle D. Villanueva, MD, DPPS

Date of Review: 31 March 2020 (version 1)

Last Updated: 14 April 2020 (version 3)

KEY FINDINGS

Based from two RCTs, there is inconclusive evidence on clinical improvement, 28-day mortality and viral shedding among patients with mild to moderate and severe COVID-19 treated with lopinavir/ritonavir.

- Lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) is a combination drug used primarily in HIV infections. Lopinavir is a protease inhibitor while ritonavir increases the concentration of lopinavir.
- Patients with SARS treated with LPV/r appeared to reduce the viral load, and LPV/r was recommended for patients with MERS-CoV
- Early case reports have shown that lopinavir/ritonavir may be beneficial in patients with COVID-19.[1-3] Two observational studies have shown that lopinavir/ritonavir if administered early (within 10 days) may decrease duration of viral shedding. [4, 5]
- Based on two open-label randomized controlled trials, lopinavir/ritonavir treatment did not significantly accelerate clinical improvement, reduce mortality, or diminish throat viral RNA detectability in patients with COVID-19. [6,7]
- There are at least 16 on-going clinical trials on lopinavir-ritonavir including a WHO-initiated worldwide clinical trial.
- Most common adverse events were nausea, vomiting, headache, increased in cholesterol level and hypertriglyceridemia [6-8]. WHO interim guidance does not recommend any specific anti-viral or biologic agents and only recommends symptomatic treatment for patients with COVID-19.[9] National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China, suggest the use of lopinavir/ritonavir in COVID-19 [10].

Disclaimer: The aim of these rapid reviews is to retrieve, appraise, summarize and update the available evidence on COVID-related health technology. The reviews have not been externally peer-reviewed; they should not replace individual clinical judgement and the sources cited should be checked. The views expressed represent the views of the authors and not necessarily those of their host institutions. The views are not a substitute for professional medical advice.

Copyright Claims: This review is an intellectual property of the authors and of the Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, National Institutes of Health-UP Manila and Asia-Pacific Center for Evidence Based Healthcare Inc.

RESULTS

We found two (2) randomized controlled open label trials on lopinavir/ritonavir. Both trials were conducted in China among hospitalized adults patients with confirmed SARS-COV-2 infection. The LOTUS trial involved 199 patients with severe case of COVID-19 while the ELACOI trial was a 3-arm controlled trial which evaluated the efficacy and safety of LPV/r against arbidol and standard treatment alone in the treatment of mild/moderate COVID-19 patients.

Variation on the severity of illness in the population and two different sets of outcome measures prevented the authors from performing a meta-analysis. The results of each trials are briefly discussed here.

In the LOTUS trial [6], LPV/r group had no significant difference from the control in the time to clinical improvement (HR for clinical improvement, 1.24; 95%CI , 0.90 to 1.72). Lopinavir/ ritonavir also did not show benefit on mortality at 28 days (RR 0.77; 95%CI 0.45 to 1.30). The percentages of patients with detectable viral RNA at various time points were similar for both groups.

In the ELACOI trial [7], both LPV/r, arbidol and standard treatment had no significant difference regarding the time of positive-to-negative conversion of COVID-19 nucleic acid ($P=0.751$). There was also no significant difference in the rate of defervescence, cough improvement and radiologic improvement at day 7 and day 14 ($P>0.05$).

Both RCTs were found to be of good quality. Both underwent randomization, and allocation concealment to treatment assignment. Primary efficacy analysis was on an intention-to-treat basis and included all the patients who had undergone randomization. However, clinicians were not blinded in both RCTs, their judgement on clinical improvement may have been influenced by the treatment assignment. The ELACOI study has a very small sample size ($n=44$) to reach the adequate power (1-Beta error > 0.8) in many parameters. It still on pre print, so it still has to be peer reviewed.

For HIV patients, several studies regarding adverse effects of LPV/r treatment has already been published. A review by Croxtall et al, enumerated the following adverse events reported in phase II/III trials: diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, headache, increased in cholesterol level and hypertriglyceridemia[18]. A meta analysis of RCTs on the efficacy and biological safety of LPV/r based anti-retroviral therapy(ART) in HIV1 infected patients by Huang et al documented Grade 3 or 4 treatment related hyperlipidemia as most notable adverse effect. In two of the studies included in the meta analysis the incidence of dyslipidemia in ART-naïve patients were 23% and 18%. [8]

WHO interim guidance does not recommend any specific anti-viral or biologic agents and only recommends symptomatic treatment for patients with COVID-19 [9]. The National Health Commission (NHC) of the People's Republic of China, in their latest version of the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pneumonia Caused by COVID-19, suggest the use of lopinavir/ritonavir (adult: 200mg/50mg/tablet, 2 tablets twice daily; the length of treatment should not exceed 10 days).[10]

CONCLUSION

Based from two RCTs, there is inconclusive evidence on clinical improvement,28-day mortality and viral shedding among patients with mild to moderate and severe COVID-19 treated with lopinavir/ritonavir. Clinical trials that investigate the efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir combination for COVID-19 patients are still limited. We are awaiting the results of other clinical trials.

Declaration of Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest

REFERENCES

1. Deng, L., et al., *Arbidol combined with LPV/r versus LPV/r alone against Corona Virus Disease 2019: A retrospective cohort study*. J Infect, 2020.
2. Lim, J., et al., *Case of the Index Patient Who Caused Tertiary Transmission of COVID-19 Infection in Korea: the Application of Lopinavir/Ritonavir for the Treatment of COVID-19 Infected Pneumonia Monitored by Quantitative RT-PCR*. J Korean Med Sci, 2020. **35**(6): p. e79.
3. Wang, Z., et al., *Clinical characteristics and therapeutic procedure for four cases with 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia receiving combined Chinese and Western medicine treatment*. Biosci Trends, 2020. **14**(1): p. 64-68.
4. Yan, D., et al., *Factors associated with prolonged viral shedding and impact of Lopinavir/Ritonavir treatment in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection (pre-print)*. medRxiv preprint, 2020.
5. Ye X.T., et al., *Clinical efficacy of lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of Coronavirus disease 2019*. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences, 2020. **24**: p. 3390-3396.
6. Cao, B., et al., *A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with Severe Covid-19*. N Engl J Med, 2020.
7. Li, Y., et al., *An exploratory randomized, controlled study on the efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir or arbidol treating adult patients hospitalized with mild/moderate COVID-19 (ELACO) (Preprint)*. medRxiv preprint, 2020.
8. Huang, X., et al., *Efficacy and biological safety of lopinavir/ritonavir based anti-retroviral therapy in HIV-1-infected patients: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials*. Sci Rep, 2015. **5**: p. 8528.
9. WHO, *Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) when COVID-19 disease is suspected. Interim guidance. V1.2*. 2020, WHO: Geneva.
10. China, N.H.C.N.o.t.P.s.R.o., *The diagnosis and treatment guide of COVID-19 pneumonia caused by new coronavirus infection. 7th Edition*. 2020.
11. Chu, C.M., et al., *Role of lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of SARS: initial virological and clinical findings*. Thorax, 2004. **59**(3): p. 252-256.
12. Chan KS, L.S., Chu CM, et al, *Treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome with lopinavir/ritonavir: a multicentre retrospective matched cohort study*. Hong Kong Medical Journal = Xianggang yi xue za zhi., 2003. **9**(6): p. 399-406.
13. de Wilde, A.H., et al., *Screening of an FDA-approved compound library identifies four small-molecule inhibitors of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus replication in cell culture*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2014. **58**(8): p. 4875-84.
14. Chan, J.F., et al., *Treatment With Lopinavir/Ritonavir or Interferon-beta1b Improves Outcome of MERS-CoV Infection in a Nonhuman Primate Model of Common Marmoset*. J Infect Dis, 2015. **212**(12): p. 1904-13.
15. Spanakis, N., et al., *Virological and serological analysis of a recent Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection case on a triple combination antiviral regimen*. Int J Antimicrob Agents, 2014. **44**(6): p. 528-32.
16. Kim, U.J., et al., *Combination therapy with lopinavir/ritonavir, ribavirin and interferon-alpha for Middle East respiratory syndrome*. Antivir Ther, 2016. **21**(5): p. 455-9.
17. Zhu, Z., et al., *Arbidol Monotherapy is Superior to Lopinavir/ritonavir in Treating COVID-19*. J Infect, 2020.
18. Croxtall, J.D. and C.M. Perry, *Lopinavir/Ritonavir A Review of its Use in the Management of HIV-1 Infection*. Drugs, 2010. **70**(14): p. 1885-1915.

Appendix 1. Characteristics of included studies

No.	Title/Author	Study design	Country	Population	Intervention Group(s)	Comparison Group(s)	Outcomes	Key findings
1	A Trial of Lopinavir–Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with Severe Covid-19	Randomized-controlled open-labeled trial	China	199	LPV/r plus standard of care	Standard of care alone	Time to clinical improvement (time from randomization to either an improvement of two points on a seven-category ordinal scale or discharge from the hospital, whichever came first.)	No benefit was observed with lopinavir–ritonavir treatment beyond standard care. Although delayed administration of the treatment may partially explain the the ineffectiveness of LPV/r.
2	An Exploratory Randomized Controlled Study on the Efficacy and Safety of Lopinavir/Ritonavir or Arbidol Treating Adult Patients Hospitalized with Mild/Moderate COVID 19 (ELACOI)	Exploratory randomized controlled trial	China	44	LPV/r Arbidol	No anti-viral treatment	Time of positive to negative conversion of SARS Cov2 Nucleic Acid and conversion rates at Day 7 and Day 14 Improvement of clinical signs and symptoms and Chest CT	The mean time to positive-to-negative conversion of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid during the 21-day follow-up period was 8.5 (IQR, 3-13) in the LPV/r group, 7 (IQR, 3-10.5) in the arbidol group and 4 (IQR, 3-10.5) in the control group, with no statistical difference among them ($P=0.751$) The positive-to-negative conversion rates of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid at day 7 and 14 did not show significant differences in the LPV/r group (42.9%, 76.2%), the arbidol group (62.5%, 87.5%) and the control group (71.4%, 71.4%) (all $P>0.53$). No statistical differences were found among three groups in the rates of antipyresis, cough alleviation, improvement of chest CT (All $P>0.05$)
3	Factors Associated with prolonged viral shedding and impact of Lopinavir/Ritonavir Treatment in Patients with SARS-Cov-2 Infection	Retrospective cohort	China	120	LPV/r	No LPV/R	Risk factors associated with duration of viral shedding	Older age and lack of LPV/r treatment were independently associated with SARS- CoV-2 RNA shedding in patients with COVID-19. Earlier administration of LPV/r treatment could shorten viral shedding.

4	Clinical Efficacy of Lopinavir/Ritonavir in The Treatment of Corona Virus Disease 2019	Retrospective Cohort	China	47	LPV/r plus Adjuvant medicine	Adjuvant medicine alone	Mean number of days to lysis of fever Improvement in the Abnormal proportions of Blood tests (WBC, Lymphocytes, CRP and PLT) Number of Days for nCoV RNA to turn negative	Patients in the test group returned to normal body temperature in a shorter time (4.8 +/- 1.94 days) compared to control (7.3+/- days p=0.0364). Abnormal proportion of WBC, lymphocytes, CRP and PLT in the Test group was generally lower compared to control after 3 three treatments. Patients in the test group are able to turn negative for nCoV RNA after 7.8 +/- 3.09 days vs control 12 +/- 0.82 days, p=0.0219)
5	Arbidol Monotherapy is Superior to Lopinavir/Ritonavir in Treating COVID 19	Retrospective Cohort	China	34	Lopinavir/Ritonavir	Arbidol	Duration of positive RNA test/Viral load	No significant difference in fever duration between the two groups (P=0.61). Arbidol group had a shorter duration of positive RNA test compared to those in the LPV/r group (P <0.01). On day 14 after the admission, no viral load was detected in arbidol group, but the viral load was found in 15(44.1%) patients on LPV/r

Appendix 2. Characteristics of ongoing clinical trials

Clinical Trial Identifier (Location)	Official Title	Methodology	Groups	Estimated Date of Completion
ISRCTN83971151 (Multicountry)	Public health emergency SOLIDARITY trial of treatments for COVID-19 infection in hospitalized patients	Open-label randomized multicountry clinical trial	1. Local standard of care alone OR local standard of care plus one of 2. Remdesivir 3. Chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine 4. Lopinavir + ritonavir 5. Lopinavir + ritonavir plus interferon-beta	March 2021
ISRCTN50189673 EudraCT number 2020-001113-21 (United Kingdom)	A randomised trial of treatments to prevent death in patients hospitalised with COVID-19 (coronavirus)	Randomized controlled trial	1. Lopinavir/ritonavir 2. Interferon-B1a 3. Corticosteroid (dexamethasone) 4. Hydroxychloroquine	June 2021
NCT04307693 (South Korea)	Comparison of Lopinavir/Ritonavir or Hydroxychloroquine in Patients With Mild Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19)	Multicenter open labelled, parallel randomized clinical trial	Experimental: Lopinavir/ritonavir Cleic Acid Active Control: Hydroxychloroquine Control: No intervention	May 2020
NCT04261907	Evaluating and Comparing the Safety and Efficiency of ASC09/Ritonavir and Lopinavir/Ritonavir for Novel Coronavirus Infection	Multicenter open labelled, parallel randomized clinical trial	Experimental: ASC09/ritonavir group + conventional standardized treatment Control: Lopinavir/ritonavir tablet+conventional standardized treatment	June 30,2020
NCT04276688 (Hong Kong)	Lopinavir/ Ritonavir, Ribavirin and IFN-beta Combination for nCoV Treatment	Randomized, open label parallel controlled clinical trial	Experimental: Lopinavir/ritonavir + ribavirin + Interferon Beta-1B Active Control: Lopinavir+ritonavir	July 31,2022
NCT04255017 (China)	A Prospective/Retrospective, Randomized Controlled Clinical Study of Antiviral Therapy in the 2019-nCoV Pneumonia	Randomized single-blinded parallel controlled clinical trial	Experimental: Abidol HCl + standard treatment Experimental: Oseltamivir + standard treatment Experimental: Lopinavir/ritonavir + standard treatment Control: Standard treatment alone	July 1, 2020
NCT04315948 (France)	Trial of Treatments for COVID-19 in Hospitalized Adults (DisCoVeRy)	Randomized multi-center, open label, parallel controlled clinical trial	Experimental: Remdesivir Experimental: Lopinavir/ritonavir Experimental: Lopinavir/ritonavir plus Interferon B-1a Experimental: Hydroxychloroquine	March 2023

NCT04303299 (Thailand)	Various Combination of Protease Inhibitors, Oseltamivir, Favipiravir, and Hydroxychloroquine for Treatment of COVID19 : A Randomized Control Trial	Randomized multi-center, open label, parallel controlled clinical trial	Experimental groups: Various combinations of protease inhibitors, oseltamivir, favipriavir, and chlroquine	November 30, 2020
ChiCTR2000030187 (China)	Clinical study for Lopinavir and Ritonavir in the treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19)	Randomized controlled clinical trial	Experimental group: Lopinavir/ritonavir Control: Routine symptomatic support treatment	March 25 2020
ChiCTR2000029741 (China)	Efficacy of Chloroquine and Lopinavir/ Ritonavir in mild/general novel coronavirus (CoVID-19) infections: a prospective, open-label, multicenter randomized controlled clinical study	Randomized multi-center, open label, parallel controlled clinical trial	Experimental group: Chloroquine Control: Lopinavir/ritonavir	December 2020
ChiCTR2000029548 (China)	Randomized, open-label, controlled trial for evaluating of the efficacy and safety of Baloxavir Marboxil, Favipiravir, and Lopinavir-Ritonavir in the treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) patients	Randomized open label, parallel controlled clinical trial	Experimental groups: 1. Baloxavir Marboxil 2. Favipiravir 3. Lopinavir-Ritonavir	June 2020
ChiCTR2000029541 (China)	A randomised, open, controlled trial for darunavir/cobicistat or Lopinavir/ritonavir combined with thymosin a1 in the treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19)	Randomized open label, parallel controlled clinical trial	Experimental groups: 1. Darunavir/cobicistat + thymosin 2. Lopinavir/ritonavir + thymosin 3. Conventional treatment + thymosin	December 2020
ChiCTR2000029539 (China)	A randomized, open-label study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in patients with mild novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19)	Randomized open label, parallel controlled clinical trial	Experimental : Lopinavir ritonavir + conventional treatment Control: conventional treatment	February 2021
ChiCTR2000029468 (China)	A real-world study for lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) and emtricitabine (FTC) / Tenofovir alafenamide Fumarate tablets (TAF) regimen in the treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19)	Non-randomized controlled clinical trial	Experimental : Lopinavir/litonavir (LPV/r)+ emtricitabine (FTC)/ Tenofovir alafenamide Fumarate tablets (TAF) in combination Historical Control: Lopinavir/Ritonavir	June 2020
ChiCTR2000029387	Comparative effectiveness and safety of ribavirin plus interferon-alpha, lopinavir/ritonavir plus interferon-alpha and ribavirin plus lopinavir/ritonavir plus interferon-alpha in patients with mild to moderate novel coronavirus pneumonia	Randomized controlled clinical trial	Experimental groups: 1. Ribavirin + Interferon alpha-1b 2. lopinavir / ritonavir + interferon alpha-1b 3. Ribavirin + LPV/r+Interferon alpha-1b	January 2021
ChiCTR2000029308	A randomized, controlled open-label trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of lopinavir-ritonavir in hospitalized patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19)	Randomized open label, parallel controlled clinical trial	Experimental group: Lopinavir ritonavir Control group: Conventional treatment	January 2021