

Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, National Institutes of Health, UP Manila In cooperation with the Philippine Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Funded by the Department of Health

### **EVIDENCE SUMMARY**

# Should side lying position be used in patients with severe to critical COVID-19?

Evidence Reviewers: Adrian Ronald A. Espino, MD, Vaneza Leah A. Espino, MD, Christopher G. Manalo, MD, Leonila F. Dans, MD, MSc

# Should self-proning be used in non-intubated patients with severe COVID-19?

Update by: Adrian Ronald A. Espino, MD, Vaneza Leah A. Espino, MD, DPPS, DPAPP

Initial review by: Myzelle Anne J. Infantado, PTRP, MSc (cand), Dan Louie Renz P. Tating, MS(cand), RN

### RECOMMENDATIONS

We suggest self-proning position in non-intubated patients with severe and critical COVID-19 (Very low certainty of evidence; Weak recommendation)

#### **Consensus** Issues

Self-proning in non-intubated patients with severe and critical COVID-19 was suggested despite the lack of significant benefit in terms of mortality, need for endotracheal intubation, and need for intensive care unit stay on the basis that self-proning may still offer some benefit on improving oxygenation citing theoretical effect and personal experience, and taking into consideration the existing recommendations made by various international guidelines as well.

There is insufficient evidence to recommend the use of side lying in non-intubated patients with severe and critical COVID-19 (Very low certainty of evidence)

#### Consensus Issues

There was very limited evidence to recommend side lying for the same subset of patients, although it was recognized that there may be some benefit. This intervention will depend on the physician's prerogative in situations where self-proning is not possible. Potential harm such as patient discomfort and risk of accidental removal of peripheral lines and endotracheal tubes and the need for additional healthcare workers to perform proning in sedated and mechanically ventilated patients should be considered for both patient and health care worker in attempting this intervention.



### PREVIOUS RECOMMENDATION

We suggest self proning to improve oxygenation status of non-intubated hospitalized patients with COVID-19 infection requiring oxygen supplementation. (Very low quality of evidence; Conditional recommendation)

#### Previous Consensus Issues

Self-proning is recommended for patients with COVID-19 infection who are not qualified to be intubated. Based on the studies, self proning has no impact on mortality and intubation.

#### What's new in this version?

- As of September 20, 2021, 4 new randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were available for review to evaluate proning in COVID-19 patients.
- Recommendation for proning is maintained based on very low quality of evidence with a conditional recommendation.

#### **Key Findings**

Among non-intubated severe patients with COVID 19 with oxygen saturation of at least 90% and oxygen requirement of less than 6 liters per minute, pooled results of 4 randomized controlled trials showed no difference in the duration of proning and outcomes such as mortality, need for intubation and the need for intensive care.

A case series of five patients with COVID-19 associated ARDS showed that side lying accompanied with Positive End Expiratory Pressure (PEEP) titration provided a statistically significant benefit by decreasing the incidence of overdistension and lung collapse. Adverse events were not reported.

The certainty of evidence for both side lying and proning are very low due to serious risk of bias, substantial heterogeneity and imprecision.

#### Introduction

Positioning maneuvers such as proning and side lying have been proven to provide benefit in patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS).[1] However, whether these benefits extend to patients with severe to critical COVID-19 is still under clinical investigation. Proning position results in improvement in ventilation-perfusion mismatching in patients with ARDS. Despite studies explaining the proposed pathophysiologic basis for COVID ARDS (CARDS) such as intravascular thrombosis and loss of lung perfusion regulation, retrospective studies have provided some evidence of benefit for positioning maneuvers in severe and critical patients.[2-4]

#### **Review Methods**

A comprehensive literature search was done (date of last search: September 20, 2021) for the 2 clinical questions using Medline, Cochrane Library, Google Scholar and clinicaltrials.gov with the following keywords: "lateral positioning", "side lying" "lateral decubitus", "proning", "prone positioning" "prone position" and "COVID-19" "SARS-COV2". All studies that resulted from the search were reviewed and appraised. Randomized controlled trials and available meta-analysis relating to side lying and proning were included.



### Results

#### Proning

A total of 34 RCTs were screened and evaluated at the time of the search. Of these, only four RCTS (Rosen et al., Kharat et al., Jayakumar et al., and Taylor et al.)[6-9] were found to have completed and published results on proning and COVID-19 while the other 30 RCTs were still in the process of recruitment, final manuscript review or publishing. There were no RCTs available for the use of proning in intubated COVID-19 patients.

Patients included in the studies were adults greater than 18 years old, diagnosed with COVID-19, admitted in the ICU [8] and medical wards [6,7,9], with oxygen saturation of at least 90% [7-9] and oxygen requirement of <6 liters per minute.[7-9] Exclusion criteria were similar among all studies which included pregnant women, patients who were unable to perform proning, those with hemodynamic instability and those who were in need of immediate intubation.

Different protocols on proning were used in the included studies and ranged from 6 to 48 hours. The study of Taylor et al., Kharat et al. and Jayakumar et al. instructed patients on how to perform self-proning (not specified) and were advised to undergo proning for at least 48 hours, 12 hours and 6 hours respectively. On the other hand, the study by Rosen et al. provided illustrations and instructed patients to do self-proning for at least 16 hours. All four studies had assigned teams of nurses and physicians for evaluation and monitoring of patients with no mention of self-monitoring. Similar outcomes were identified such as need for intensive care, mortality and need for intubation. The study of Jayakumar et al. provided data on the effect of proning on oxygenation by measuring the PaO2/FiO2 ratio after 2 hours, which was found to be statistically not significant (p value=0.3). Other outcomes such as change in PaO2, change in SpO2 and change in ROX index were not evident in the studies.

#### Need for intubation

Three randomized controlled trials [6,8,9] with very low evidence have been evaluated in terms of patients needing intubation. The study of Rosen et al. reported their primary outcome of patients on proning needing intubation at 33% while rates taken from Taylor et al. and Jayakumar et al. were at 0 and 20% respectively. A subgroup analysis was done based on duration of proning, which showed no difference between patients who underwent self-proning for 16 hours or more (RR 1.0, 95% CI 0.53-1.90) and those who underwent self-proning for less than 6 hours (RR 1.0, 95% CI 0.56-1.77).

#### Mortality

Three studies evaluated mortality as an outcome in patients who underwent proning. The studies of Rosen et al., Taylor et al. and Jayakumar et al. reported a mortality rate of 17%, 0% and 10% respectively. On subgroup analysis, there were no significant differences in mortality for patients who did self-proning for 16 hours or more (RR 2.17, 95% CI 0.58-8.03) and self-proning for 6 hours or less (RR 1.50, 95% CI 0.27-8.34).

#### Need for Intensive Care

All of the four RCTS evaluated the effect of proning and the need for intensive care. Two studies (Rosen et al. and Taylor et al.) who had proning for >16 hours had rates of 75% and 29% respectively while patients who underwent proning for <12 hours (Jayakumar et al. and Kharat et al.) had rate of 13% and 10% respectively. Results from both subgroups with different durations of proning (>16 hours RR 0.96, 95% CI 0.61-1.51 and <12 hours RR 1.58, 95% CI 0.98-2.54) showed no significant difference in the patient's need for intensive care.



#### Side Lying

A total of six studies were screened to review the evidence of side lying in COVID-19. Of the six studies, two were excluded due to the unavailability of outcomes and three were RCTS that were on the process of recruiting participants. The identified and available study was a case series published in 2021 which included five patients on mechanical ventilation.[5] Patients included in the study were adults aged 44-85 years old with COVID-19 associated ARDS, who were mechanically ventilated, sedated, and paralyzed. Lung overdistension and collapse were evaluated using Electrical Impedance Tomography (EIT) accompanied with Positive End Expiratory Pressure (PEEP) titration in 5 patients, initially on supine followed by side lying, as determined by the more aerated lung which would then be positioned down. Lung overdistension and collapse were measured before and after positioning (supine to targeted lateral position) with decremental PEEP titration. Results from this study revealed a statistically significant effect of decreasing overdistension accompanied with PEEP titration in the right lung (p < 0.0005) when in side lying and prevention of lung collapse in the left lung [at PEEP 14 (p = 0.034), at PEEP 10 (p = 0.028), at PEEP 8 (p = 0.019), and at PEEP 6 cmH2O (p = 0.007)]. No statistical significance was observed in right lung collapse and left lung overdistension. There was no data available for outcomes on mortality, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission, initiation of anti-inflammatory treatment and length of hospital stay.

### Evidence to Decision

There is still scarce data on the use of proning and side lying in the management of severe to critical COVID-19 patients. Retrospective cohort studies and other RCTS would recommend these maneuvers but some are still uncertain on its benefit on management.

One of the most common disadvantages of doing proning position especially in intubated patients is the need for a team of health workers to carefully position patients into proning, taking into consideration the discomfort and the risk of removing peripheral lines and tubes.[10, 11]

### Recommendations from Other Groups

Four guidelines on the management of COVID-19 were identified. Their recommendations are summarized in the table below.

Group/Society/Network	Year	Recommendation	Level of Evidence/Strength of Recommendation
American Thoracic Society [12]	2020	For patients with refractory hypoxemia due to progressive COVID-19 pneumonia (ARDS), we suggest prone ventilation	Conditional Recommendation
World Health Organization [13]	2021	We suggest awake prone positioning of severely ill patients hospitalized with COVID-19 requiring supplemental oxygen (includes high- flow nasal oxygen) or non-invasive ventilation (conditional, low certainty evidence).	Conditional Recommendation



European Respiratory Society [14]	2021	Prone positioning may improve oxygenation in non-intubated patient with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure and is widely used for mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19.	Very Low Conditional Recommendation
National Institutes of Health [15]	2021	For patients with persistent hypoxemia despite increasing supplemental oxygen requirements in whom endotracheal intubation is not otherwise indicated, the Panel recommends considering a trial of awake prone positioning to improve oxygenation	Clla
		The Panel recommends against using awake prone positioning as a rescue therapy for refractory hypoxemia to avoid intubation in patients who otherwise meet the indications for intubation and mechanical ventilation	AIII
		For mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19 and refractory hypoxemia despite optimized ventilation, the Panel recommends prone ventilation for 12 to 16 hours per day over no prone ventilation	Blla

### Research Gaps

There is still limited data on the use of proning and side lying in the management of severe to critical COVID -19 patients. As of now, RCTS are in the process of recruitment and data collection and may further contribute to provide evidence in the management of COVID-19.

### References

- [1] Griffiths MJD, McAuley DF, Perkins GD, Barrett N, Blackwood B, Boyle A, et al. Guidelines on the management of acute respiratory distress syndrome. BMJ Open Respir Res. 2019;6(1).
- [2] Adeola JO, Patel S, Goné EN, Tewfik G. A Quick Review on the Multisystem Effects of Prone Position in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) Including COVID-19. Clin Med Insights Circ Respir Pulm Med. 2021;15.
- [3] Attaway AH, Scheraga RG, Bhimraj A, Biehl M, Hatipoğ Lu U. Severe covid-19 pneumonia: Pathogenesis and clinical management. BMJ. 2021;372.
- [4] Schifino G, de Grauw AJ, Daniele F, Comellini V, Fasano L, Pisani L. Effects of prone and lateral position in non-intubated patients with 2019 Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) pneumonia. Pulmonology. 2021;27(2):167–71.
- [5] MIček M, Otáhal M, Borges JB, Alcala GC, Hladík D, Kuriščák E, et al. Targeted lateral positioning decreases lung collapse and overdistension in COVID-19-associated ARDS.



BMC Pulm Med [Internet]. 2021;21(1):1–7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-021-01501-x

- [6] Rosén J, von Oelreich E, Fors D, Jonsson Fagerlund M, Taxbro K, Skorup P, et al. Awake prone positioning in patients with hypoxemic respiratory failure due to COVID-19: the PROFLO multicenter randomized clinical trial. Ann Am Thorac Soc [Internet]. 2021;7(1):00692–2020. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00692-2020
- [7] Kharat A, Dupuis-Lozeron E, Cantero C, Marti C, Grosgurin O, Lolachi S, et al. Self-proning in COVID-19 patients on low-flow oxygen therapy: a cluster randomised controlled trial. ERJ Open Res [Internet]. 2021;7(1):00692–2020. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00692-2020
- [8] Jayakumar D, Ramachandran, DNB P, Rabindrarajan, DNB E, Vijayaraghavan, MD BKT, Ramakrishnan, AB N, Venkataraman, AB R. Standard Care Versus Awake Prone Position in Adult Nonintubated Patients With Acute Hypoxemic Respiratory Failure Secondary to COVID-19 Infection—A Multicenter Feasibility Randomized Controlled Trial. J Intensive Care Med. 2021;36(8):918–24.
- [9] Taylor SP, Bundy H, Smith WM, Skavroneck S, Taylor B, Kowalkowski MA. Awake prone positioning strategy for nonintubated hypoxic patients with covid-19 a pilot trial with embedded implementation evaluation. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2021;18(8):1360–8.
- [10] Ghelichkhani P, Esmaeili M. Prone Position in Management of COVID-19 Patients; a Commentary. Arch Acad Emerg Med. 2020;8(1):1–3.
- [11] Shelhamer MC, Wesson PD, Solari IL, Jensen DL, Steele WA, Dimitrov VG, et al. Prone Positioning in Moderate to Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Due to COVID-19: A Cohort Study and Analysis of Physiology. J Intensive Care Med. 2021;36(2):241–52.
- [12] Wilson KC, Chotirmall SH, Bai C, Rello J. COVID-19: Interim Guidance on Management Pending Empirical Evidence. Am Thorac Soc Int Task Force [Internet]. 2020;1–12. Available from: www.thoracic.org/professionals/clinical - %0Aresources/disease related - resources/covid - 19 - %0Aguidance.pdf
- [13] WHO. Clinical management Clinical management Living guidance COVID-19. World Heal Organ. 2021;(January):16–44.
- [14] Chalmers JD, Crichton ML, Goeminne PC, Cao B, Humbert M, Shteinberg M, et al. Management of hospitalised adults with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A European respiratory society living guideline. Eur Respir J. 2021;57(4).
- [15] COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Treatment Guidelines. Disponible en: https://covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/. Natl Inst Heal [Internet]. 2020;2019:130. Available from: https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/



Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, National Institutes of Health, UP Manila In cooperation with the Philippine Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Funded by the Department of Health

### Appendix 1. Evidence to Decision

Table 1. Summary of initial judgements prior to the panel discussion: side lying, proning (N = 8)

FACTORS	JUDGEMENT						RESEARCH EVIDENCE/ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
Problem	No	Yes (8)				•	Despite studies explaining the proposed pathophysiologic basis for COVID ARDS (CARDS) such as intravascular thrombosis and loss of lung perfusion regulation, retrospective studies have provided some evidence of benefit in severe and critical patients
Benefits	Large (3)	Moderate (1)	Small (2)	Uncertain (2)		•	One observational study provided a significant decrease in overdistension and lung collapse in mechanically ventilated patients accompanied with PEEP titration. Proning: no significant difference in terms of need of intubation, need for intensive care and mortality regardless of duration of proning
Harm	Large	Small (8)	Uncertain			•	Reported adverse effects during proning: pressure ulcers, vomiting and cardiac arrest. Other harms observed in studies were removal of peripheral lines and possible removal of the endotracheal tube in intubated patients.
Certainty of Evidence	High	Moderate (2)	Low (2)	Very low (4)			
Balance of effects	Favors drug (4)	Does not favor drug (1)	Uncertain (3)			•	There were no significant difference in terms of need of intubation, mortality and need for intensive care in COVID-19 patients who underwent proning.



Values	Important uncertainty or variability (1)	Possibly important uncertainty or variability (3)	Possibly NO important uncertainty or variability (3)	No important uncertainty or variability (1)					
Resources Required	Uncertain (3)	Large cost (1)	Moderate Cost (2)	Negligible cost (2)	Moderate savings	Large savings			
Certainty of evidence of required resources	No included studies (4)	Very low (1)	Low (2)	Moderate (1)	High				
Cost effectiveness	No included studies (3)	Favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Favors the intervention (5)					
Equity	Uncertain (3)	Reduced	Probably no impact (3)	Increased (2)					
Acceptability	Uncertain (1)	No	Yes (7)						
Feasibility	Uncertain (1)	No	Yes (7)						



Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, National Institutes of Health, UP Manila In cooperation with the Philippine Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Funded by the Department of Health

### Appendix 2. Search Yield and Results



Figure 1: PRISMA flow diagram of Search Yield results for side lying





Figure 2: PRISMA flow diagram of Search Yield results for proning



#### Table 2. Detailed search strategy for side lying

#	Query	Results					
	Pubmed						
1	((lateral positioning) OR (lateral decubitus positioning)) OR (side lying)	39,946					
2	<ul> <li>(("COVID-19" [Supplementary Concept] OR "COVID-19</li> <li>diagnostic testing" [Supplementary Concept] OR "COVID-19 drug</li> <li>treatment" [Supplementary Concept] OR "COVID-19 serotherapy"</li> <li>[Supplementary Concept] OR "COVID-19 vaccine"</li> <li>[Supplementary Concept] OR "Severe acute respiratory syndrome</li> <li>coronavirus 2" [Supplementary Concept] OR "2019-nCoV" OR</li> <li>"2019nCoV" OR "cov 2" OR "Covid-19" OR "sars coronavirus 2"</li> <li>OR "sars cov 2" OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR "severe acute respiratory</li> <li>syndrome coronavirus 2" OR "coronavirus 2" OR "COVID 19" OR</li> <li>"COVID-19" OR "2019 ncov" OR "2019nCoV" OR "corona virus</li> <li>disease 2019" OR "cov2" OR "COVID-19" OR "COVID 19" OR</li> <li>"nCov 2019" OR "ncov2" OR "COVID-19" OR "COVID19" OR</li> <li>"nCov 2019" OR "ncov2" OR "COVID-19" OR "novel coronavirus 2" OR "SARS Coronavirus 2" OR "SARS2" OR "SARS-COV-2" OR</li> <li>"Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2") OR</li> <li>((19[tiab] OR 2019[tiab] OR "2019-nCoV" OR "Beijing" OR "China"</li> <li>OR "Covid-19" OR epidem*[tiab] OR epidemic* OR epidemy OR</li> <li>new[tiab] OR "novel"[tiab] OR "outbreak" OR pandem* OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR</li> <li>"Shanghai" OR "Wuhan") AND ("Coronavirus</li> <li>Infections"[Mesh] OR "coronavirus*[all] OR cov[tiab] OR</li> <li>pneumonia-virus*[all] OR corona-virus*[all] OR cov[tiab] OR</li> <li>pneumonia-virus*[tiab])) AND 2019/12/1:3000/12/31[PDAT])</li> </ul>	176,657					
3	randomized controlled trial [pt] OR controlled clinical trial [pt] OR randomized [tiab] OR placebo [tiab] OR drug therapy [sh] OR randomly [tiab] OR trial [tiab] OR groups [tiab]) NOT (animals [mh] NOT humans [mh])	4,520,121					
4	(((systematic review[ti] OR systematic literature review[ti] OR systematic scoping review[ti] OR systematic narrative review[ti] OR systematic qualitative review[ti] OR systematic evidence review[ti] OR systematic quantitative review[ti] OR systematic meta-review[ti] OR systematic critical review[ti] OR systematic mixed studies review[ti] OR systematic mapping review[ti] OR systematic cochrane review[ti] OR systematic search and review[ti] OR systematic integrative review[ti]) NOT comment[pt] NOT (protocol[ti] OR protocols[ti])) NOT MEDLINE [subset]) OR (Cochrane Database Syst Rev[ta] AND review[pt]) OR systematic review[pt]	202,510					
5	#1 AND #2	33					
6	#1 AND #2 AND (#3 OR #4)	4					
	COCHRANE						
1	((lateral positioning) OR (lateral decubitus positioning)) OR (side lying)	1444					
2	COVID-19 OR SARS-COV2 OR nCOV-2019 OR Coronavirus OR Coronavirus of 2019	7401					
3	(randomized controlled trial OR controlled clinical trial OR randomized OR placebo OR drug therapy OR randomly OR trial OR groups)	1538615					
4	(((systematic review OR systematic literature review OR systematic scoping review OR systematic narrative review OR systematic qualitative review OR systematic evidence review OR systematic quantitative review OR systematic meta-review OR	33327					



	systematic critical review OR systematic mixed studies review OR systematic mapping review OR systematic cochrane review OR systematic search and review OR systematic integrative review) NOT comment NOT (protocol OR protocols)) NOT MEDLINE) OR (Cochrane Database Syst Rev AND review) OR systematic review				
5	#1 AND #2	7			
6	#5 AND (#3 OR #4)	7 (2 cochrane reviews, 5 trials)			
1	COVID 19 AND lateral positioning AND trials	3			
Google Scholar					
1	Allintitle: positioning AND COVID	115			

#### Table 3. Detailed search strategy for proning

#	Query	Results
Pubmed		,
1	((prone positioning) OR (proning)) OR (proning position) OR (prone)	85,169
2	<ul> <li>((("COVID-19" [Supplementary Concept] OR "COVID-19 diagnostic testing" [Supplementary Concept] OR "COVID-19 drug treatment" [Supplementary Concept] OR "COVID-19 serotherapy" [Supplementary Concept] OR "COVID-19 vaccine"</li> <li>[Supplementary Concept] OR "Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" [Supplementary Concept] OR "2019-nCoV" OR "2019nCoV" OR "cov 2" OR "Covid-19" OR "sars coronavirus 2" OR "sars cov 2" OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" OR "coronavirus 2" OR "COVID 19" OR "COVID-19" OR "2019 ncov" OR "2019nCoV" OR "corona virus disease 2019" OR "cov2" OR "COVID-19" OR "COVID 19" OR "nCov 2019" OR "cov2" OR "COVID-19" OR "COVID 19" OR "nCov 2019" OR "ncoV" OR "new corona virus" OR "new coronaviruses" OR "novel corona virus" OR "new coronaviruses" OR "novel corona virus" OR "SARS-COV-2" OR "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2") OR ((19[tiab] OR 2019[tiab] OR "2019-nCoV" OR "Beijing" OR "China" OR "Covid-19" OR epidem*[tiab] OR epidemic* OR epidemy OR new[tiab] OR "novel"[tiab] OR "outbreak" OR pandem* OR "SARS- CoV-2" OR "Shanghai" OR "Wuhan") AND ("Coronavirus Infections"[Mesh] OR "corona-virus*[all] OR cov[tiab] OR coronavirus*[all] OR corona-virus*[all] OR cov[tiab] OR</li> </ul>	176,657
3	randomized controlled trial [pt] OR controlled clinical trial [pt] OR randomized [tiab] OR placebo [tiab] OR drug therapy [sh] OR randomly [tiab] OR trial [tiab] OR groups [tiab]) NOT (animals [mh] NOT humans [mh])	4,520,121
4	<ul> <li>(((systematic review[ti] OR systematic literature review[ti] OR systematic scoping review[ti] OR systematic narrative review[ti]</li> <li>OR systematic qualitative review[ti] OR systematic evidence review[ti] OR systematic quantitative review[ti] OR systematic meta-review[ti] OR systematic critical review[ti] OR systematic mixed studies review[ti] OR systematic mapping review[ti] OR systematic cochrane review[ti] OR systematic search and review[ti] OR systematic integrative review[ti]) NOT comment[pt]</li> <li>NOT (protocol[ti] OR protocols[ti])) NOT MEDLINE [subset]) OR (Cochrane Database Syst Rev[ta] AND review[pt]) OR systematic</li> </ul>	202,510



	review[pt]	
5	#1 AND #2	1,332
6	#1 AND #2 WITH filter (Clinical Trial, Randomized Controlled Trial)	11
COCHRANE		
1	((prone positioning) OR (proning)) OR (proning position) OR (prone)	1,974
2	COVID-19 OR SARS-COV2 OR nCOV-2019 OR Coronavirus OR Coronavirus of 2019	7401
3	#1 AND #2	74 (5 Cochrane Reviews, 69 Trials) Cross Referenced with Clinicaltrials.gov*
Google Scholar		
1	allintitle: prone OR proning OR prone positioning OR prone position AND COVID	48



Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, National Institutes of Health, UP Manila In cooperation with the Philippine Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Funded by the Department of Health

### Appendix 3. Characteristics of Included Studies

Table 4. Stu	udy Characteristics	of Included Studies	for Side Lying (n=	1)
--------------	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------	----

Study ID	Study	Setting/Country	Total	Population	Intervention	Comparator/Control	Outcomes
Title	Design		number of				
Author			Patients				
			Included				
Targeted	Prospective	Brazil	5	Patients with	Targeted		Regional
lateral	observational			COVID-19	lateral		overdistension
positioning	study			associated	position		and collapse
decreases				ARDS in the first			
lung collapse				days of	defined by		
and				mechanical	selecting the		
overdistension				ventilation	less aerated		
in COVID-19-					lung to be		
associated				ARDS by Berlin	positioned up		
ARDS				Criteria	and the more		
					aerated		
Micek 2021					lung to be		
					positioned		
					down. During		
					all the		
					procedures,		
					the patients		
					were deeply		
					sedated and		
					under		
					muscle		
					paralysis		

|--|

Study ID	Study	Setting/Countr	Total	Population	Intervention	Comparator/Contro	Outcomes
Title	Design	У	number			I	
Author	_	_	of				
			Patients				
			Include				
			d				



Awake prone positioning in patients with hypoxemic respiratory failure due to COVID-19: the PROFLO multicenter randomized clinical trial Rosen et.al 2021	prospective multicenter , open- label, parallel arm, randomize d clinical superiority trial	Sweden	75	Adults ≥ 18 years old - SARS-CoV-2 reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction tests on naso- or oropharyngeal swabs - hypoxemic respiratory failure, -HFNO or NIV for respiratory support - PaO2/FiO2- ratio ≤ 20 kPa or corresponding values of SpO2 and FiO2	at least 16h Awake Prone Positioning (APP) per day Prone and semi-prone posi- tioning was allowed During in- hospital transportation , oxygenation by face mask and positioning appropriate for adequate monitoring and safety was allowed	APP was not encouraged but could be prescribed by the attending clinician at his/her discretion.	Primary outcome - intubation within 30 days after enrollment secondary outcome - duration of APP use of NIV - time of NIV for patients included with HFNO - use of vasopressors/inotrope s - CRRT,ECMO - Ventrilator-free days - Days free of NIV/HFNO for patients not intubation - Hospital and ICU length of stay - 30 day mortality - WHO ordinal scale for clinical improvement at day 7, 30 - Adverse events
Self-proning in COVID-19 patients on low-flow oxygen therapy: a cluster randomized controlled trial	Single- centre cluster randomize d controlled trial	Geneva, Switzerland	27	patients aged ≥18 years on low-flow oxygen therapy (defined as 1–6 L·min–1) through nasal cannulas to obtain a SpO2	self-proning for 12 h per day as an addition to usual care for 24 h	Usual care consisted of 1) oxygen titration with nasal cannula according to our institutional recommendations to target SpO2 values between 90% and 94%.	The pre-specified primary outcome was oxygen needs assessed by nasal cannula oxygen flow at 24 h. Secondary outcomes were the SpO2/FiO2 ratio (defined as SpO2



			1		[		
Knarat et. Al				level of 90-			percentage divided by
2021				92%.			the FiO2) at 24 h
							respiratory and
							heart rate at 24 h.
							nationt trajectory
							(transfor to critical caro
							unit)
							potential intervention-
							related adverse effects
							as defined by neck
							pain,
							•
							position-related
							discomfort and
							gastroesophageal
							reflux
Standard Care	Multicontor	India	60	18 years of age	lie prope for a	Patients randomized	
	facaibility	IIIula	00	no years of age	minimum of 6	to standard sore	
Versus Awake				and requiring 4			measure
Prone Position	randomize			or more litters	nours in a day	were allowed to	proportion of patients
in Adult	d			per minute	(cumulative)	change their position	adhering to the
Nonintubated	controlled			(LPM) of		as per their comfort	protocol in each group
Patients with	trial			supplemental		(supine, semi sitting,	
Acute				oxygen to		sitting or lateral).	Secondary outcomes
Hypoxemic				maintainSpO2			proportion of patients
Respiratory				92%		If patients in the	requiring escalation of
Failure						standard arm wished	respiratory support in
Secondary to				PaO2/FiO2		to lie prone for	either group
				ratio between		comfort this was	olation group
Infection_A				100 and 300		allowed	number of bours prope
Multicontor				mmHa (mild to		anowed.	and maximum hours of
				mining (mild to			
Peasibility							
Randomized				ARDS) WITH			positioning in a day
Controlled				PaCO2			
Trial				<45mmHg			length of stay in the
							ICU
Jayakumar				Patients with			
et.al, 2021				AHRF and			ICU mortality



				Hemodynamic hemodynamic shock requiring <0.1mcg/kg/mi n of norepinephrine were also considered for inclusion.			adverse events reasons for not lying prone
Awake prone positioning strategy for non-intubated Hypoxic Patients with COVID-19: A pilot trial with embedded implementatio n evaluation Taylor, et. al 2021	Pragmatic, two-arm parallel cluster RCT and a qualitative study	North Carolina, USA	40	positive for SARS-CoV-2 within 7 days or were suspected to have COVID- 19 pneumonia, room air oxygen saturation,93% or oxygen requirement of 3 liters per minute or greater without the need for mechanical ventilation.	Awake Prone Positioning Strategy (APPS) Patients were encouraged to sustain the prone position as long as possible but were allowed to return to the supine position as necessary	Usual Care	the primary outcome establish outcomes relative to successful implementation of a future definitive RCT. Specific research outcomes nadir oxygen saturation to fraction of inspired oxygen (S/F) ratio time spent with S/F ratio less than 315 receipt of intensive care, greater than 6 L/min oxygen support intubation hospital length of stay hospital mortality



Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, National Institutes of Health, UP Manila In cooperation with the Philippine Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Funded by the Department of Health

# Appendix 4. Study Appraisal



Figure 3. Risk of Bias (Micek et. al 2021) in side lying in patients with severe to critical COVID 19



Figure 4. Risk of Bias (Rosen et. al, Taylor et. al, Kharat et. al, Jayakunar et. al 2021) in proning in non inubated severe patients with COVID -19





Figure 5. Risk of Bias Summary of included studies for proning



### Appendix 5. GRADE Evidence Profile

Table 6. GRADE Evidence Profile for side lying

			Certainty as						
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Impact	Certainty	Importance
Regional	overdistensio	on							
1	observational studies	a a	very serious <sup>b</sup>	not serious	very serious c	none	There was a marginal two-way interaction between position and PEEP ( $p=0.073$ ). The main effect of position showed a statistically significant difference in the % of overdistension within the right lung: less overdistension along the PEEP titration in targeted lateral (right down) than supine position ( $p=0.005$ ) there was no statistically significant differences for position and overdistension of the left lung	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Luna Co	llapse	I					-		
1	observational studies	serious <sup>a</sup>	very serious <sup>b</sup>	not serious	very serious c	none	There was a statistically significant two-way interaction between position and PEEP (p=0.014) in the percent of collapse within the left lung: less collapse along the PEEP titration was found within the left lung in targeted lateral (right down) than supine position there was no	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

### Explanations

a. inadequate control of confounding factors

b. effect size was not available

c. total population was only at 5

statistically significant differences for right lung collapse on lateral position



			Certainty as	ssessment			Nº of p	atients	Eff	fect		
№ of studi es	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsiste ncy	Indirectn ess	Imprecisi on	Other considerati ons	Prone positioni ng	supine positioni ng	Relati ve (95% CI)	Absolu te (95% CI)	Certain ty	Importan ce
Mortalit	у											
3	randomiz ed trials	serio us <sup>a</sup>	serious <sup>b</sup>	serious <sup>c</sup>	serious <sup>d</sup>	none	9/93 (9.7%)	41/82 (50.0%)	<b>RR</b> <b>1.89</b> (0.67 to 5.36)	<b>445</b> more per <b>1,000</b> (from 165 fewer to 1,000 more)	⊕OO O VERY LOW	

#### Table 7 GRADE Evidence Profile for proning

#### Need for Intensive Care

4	randomiz ed trials	serio us <sup>a</sup>	serious <sup>b</sup>	serious <sup>c</sup>	serious <sup>d</sup>	none	56/103 (54.4%)	46/99 (46.5%)	<b>RR</b> <b>1.14</b> (0.81 to 1.62)	65 more per 1,000 (from 88 fewer to	⊕⊖⊖ ⊖ VERY LOW	
										288 more)		

#### Need for intubation

3	randomiz ed trials	serio us <sup>a</sup>	serious <sup>b</sup>	serious °	serious <sup>d</sup>	none	16/93 (17.2%)	17/82 (20.7%)	<b>RR</b> <b>1.00</b> (0.56 to 1.77)	<b>0 fewer</b> <b>per</b> <b>1,000</b> (from 91 fewer to	⊕⊖⊖ ⊖ VERY LOW	
										160 more)		

#### **Explanations**

a. personnel and outcome assessors were not blinded

b. downgrade by 1 due to substantial heterogeneity

c. no reported outcomes on Change in PF RATIO, PaO2, SpO2 and ROX index

d. downgraded due to a wide confidence interval

# Appendix 6. Forest Plots

	Prone positio	oning	Supine Positioning			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl			
3.2.1 Proning for 16 ho	urs or more									
Rosen et. al 2021	12	36	13	39	80.2%	1.00 [0.53, 1.90]				
Taylor et. al 2021	0	27	0	13		Not estimable				
Subtotal (95% CI)		63		52	80.2%	1.00 [0.53, 1.90]	<b>•</b>			
Total events	12		13							
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable									
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.00 (P = 1.0	0)								
3.2.2 Proning for 6 hour	rs or less									
Jayakumar et.al 2021	4	30	4	30	19.8%	1.00 [0.28, 3.63]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		30		30	19.8%	1.00 [0.28, 3.63]				
Total events	4		4							
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable									
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.00 (P = 1.0	0)								
Total (OEV, CI)		02		00	100.0%	4 00 10 56 4 771				
Total (95% CI)		93		82	100.0%	1.00 [0.56, 1.77]				
Total events	16		17							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.	.00; Chi² = 0.00	l, df = 1	$(P = 1.00); I^2 = 0$	)%						
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.00 (P = 1.0	0)					Prone positioning Supine positioning			
Test for subgroup differ	Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.00, df = 1 (P = 1.00), i <sup>2</sup> = 0%									

Figure 6. Forest Plot on the outcome of proning and need for intubation



prope positioning supine positioning			mina		Dick Datio	Pick Patio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M.H. Random 95% CL	M-H Random 95% Cl	
1.1.1 Proning for 16 hou	rs or more	Total	LYCING	Total	weight	m-n, rundom, 55% cr		
Rosen et al 2021	6	36	3	39	63.2%	2.17 (0.58, 8.03)	<b></b>	
Taylor et. al 2021	Ō	27	0	13		Not estimable		
Subtotal (95% CI)		63		52	63.2%	2.17 [0.58, 8.03]		
Total events	6		3					
Heterogeneity: Not appli	cable							
Test for overall effect: Z =	: 1.16 (P = 0.2	5)						
1.1.2 Proning for 6 hour	s or less							
Jayakumar et.al 2021	3	30	2	30	36.8%	1.50 [0.27, 8.34]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		30		30	36.8%	1.50 [0.27, 8.34]	-	
Total events	3		2					
Heterogeneity: Not appli	cable							
Test for overall effect: Z =	: 0.46 (P = 0.6	4)						
Total (95% CI)		93		82	100.0%	1.89 [0.67, 5.36]	-	
Total events	9		5					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.0	00; Chi <sup>z</sup> = 0.11	l, df = 1	$(P = 0.74); I^2 = 0$	0%				
Test for overall effect: Z =	: 1.20 (P = 0.2	3)					Prone positioning Supine positioning	
rest for subgroup differences: Chi² = 0.11, df = 1 (P = 0.74), l² = 0%								

Figure 7. Forest plot on the outcome of proning and mortality

	Prone posit	ioning	Supine Posit	ioning		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl			
3.1.1 Proning for 16 ho	ours or more									
Rosen et. al 2021	27	36	27	39	52.4%	1.08 [0.82, 1.44]				
Taylor et. al 2021	8	27	6	13	14.6%	0.64 [0.28, 1.47]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		63		52	<b>67.0</b> %	0.96 [0.61, 1.51]	<b>•</b>			
Total events	35		33							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.05; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.50, df = 1 (P = 0.22); l <sup>2</sup> = 33%										
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.19 (P = 0.8	85)								
3.1.2 Proning for 12 ho	ours or less									
Jayakumar et.al 2021	20	30	13	30	31.7%	1.54 [0.95, 2.49]	<b></b>			
Kharat et.al 2021	1	10	0	17	1.2%	4.91 [0.22, 110.23]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		40		47	<b>33.0</b> %	1.58 [0.98, 2.54]	◆			
Total events	21		13							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0	0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.5	4, df = 1	(P = 0.46); I <sup>2</sup> =	0%						
Test for overall effect: Z	(= 1.89 (P = 0.0	D6)								
							_			
Total (95% CI)		103		99	100.0%	1.14 [0.81, 1.62]	<b>•</b>			
Total events	56		46							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0	0.04; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 4.3	5, df = 3	(P = 0.23); I <sup>2</sup> =	31%						
Test for overall effect: Z	(= 0.75 (P = 0.4	45)					Prone positioning Sunine positioning			
Test for subgroup diffe	est for subgroup differences: Chi# = 2.23, df = 1 (P = 0.14), I# = 55.2%									

Figure 8. Forest Plot on the outcome of proning and need for intensive care



Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, National Institutes of Health, UP Manila In cooperation with the Philippine Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Funded by the Department of Health

## Appendix 7. Characteristics of Ongoing Studies

Title	Intervention	Comparator/Control	Patients/Population	Outcomes
Expected Completion			Recruited	
Date				
Immediate effect of prone and side lying position on oxygen saturation in patients with COVID 19- A Randomised Controlled Trial Main ID: CTRI/2021/03/031939	Prone position after doing Diaphragmatic breathing exercises, thoracic expansion exercise Lateral Position after doing Diaphragmatic breathing exercises, thoracic expansion exercise patients will adopt the position (supine) after breathing exercises for 1 hour. Frequency - 1 session per day The patient's face could be placed on either side and patients were allowed to adjust their position for comfort.	Supine Position after doing Diaphragmatic breathing exercises, thoracic expansion exercise and patients will adopt the position (supine) after breathing exercises for 1 hour. Frequency - 1 session per day	NOT YET RECRUITING Patients who required additional oxygen supplementation (HFNC) Age group of >30 years both male and female	Spo2Timepoint: 1 hour
Awake Prone Position Versus Repeated Position Change in Moderate to Severe COVID- 19 patients: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial Main ID: CTRI/2020/07/026532	Repeated Position Change: One hour right lateral, two hours prone and one hour left lateral	Awake Prone Positioning: Awake Prone Positioning for 4h in patients presented with shortness of breath	NOT YET RECRUITING Adult patients (aged between 18 and 75y) with laboratory confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia, self-reported symptom of shortness of breath patients,	Primary Outcome compare repeated positioning with 4h continuous prone positioning in terms of self- reported dyspnea in a 10- point visual analogue scale Time point: 4hour since randomization Secondary Outcome oxygenation status (room air oxyhemoglobin

Table 8: Study Characteristics of Ongoing studies for side lying (n=3)



			saturation or PaO2/FiO2 ratio in arterial blood gas) requirement of rescue therapy (high flow nasal oxygen) in both the groups. hemodynamic variables in both the groups. requirement of mechanical ventilation within 24h in both the groups. the change in respiratory rate in both the groups. Timepoint: 4 hour since
			Secondary ID(s)
NCT04475068 Feasibility and Physiological Effects of a Postural Recruitment Maneuver in Patients With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Due to COVID-19 Infection	Lateral Position (left and right lateral decubitus) patients will be sedated deeply with sedatives and opioids and paralyzed. Patients will be evaluated in 5 positions sequentially: 1) Supine 2) Left lateral 3) Supine 4) Right lateral 5) Supine. Each step will last 30 minutes. Aeration measured by Electric Impedance Tomography (EIT) and lung ultrasound, distribution of the lung ventilation and perfusion measured by EIT, ventilator and hemodynamic parameters, esophageal pressure, and	Patients > 18 years of age Patients with moderate-to- severe ARDS as per the Berlin definition Infection due to COVID-19 Body mass index (BMI) ≤ 35 kg /m^2. Exclusion Criteria:	Secondary ID(s)Effects of a postural recruitment maneuver in lung aerationLung aeration measured by ultrasound reaeration score, ranges from 0 (all regions are well aerated) to 36 (all regions are consolidated).Effects of a postural recruitment maneuver in distribution of ventilationDistribution of ventilation measured by EIT (distribution and changes in the impedance in AU, arbitray units)Effects of a postural recruitment maneuver in distribution and changes in the impedance in AU, arbitray units)



blood gas analysis will be		Gas exchange measured
recorded at the end of each		by blood gas analysis
step. Continuous		(PaO2, PaCO2, in mmHg)
monitoring of blood		and capnography (end-tidal
pressure, heart rate and		CO2, in mmHg)
saturation of arterial blood		
(SpO2) will be carried out		Effects of a postural
during all steps of the		recruitment maneuver in
protocol to assess the		respiratory mechanics
tolerance to the procedure.		
-		Respiratory mechanics
		measured by esophageal
		balloon (esophageal
		pressure, transpulmonary
		pressure, in cmH2O)
		Effects of a postural
		recruitment maneuver in
		hemodynamic
		Hemodynamic data
		measured by invasive
		arterial monitoring (mean
		arterial pressure, in mmHg)
		Secondary outcome
		Feasibility of a postural
		recruitment maneuver
		0
		Oxygenatory tolerance
		evaluated with pulse
		oximeter (arterial oxygen
		saturation, in percentage)

Table 9. Study characteristics of ongoing studies for proning (n=30)

Title	Intervention	Comparator/Control	Patients/Population Recruited	Outcomes
Expected Completion Date				
NCT04424797	The Prone Experimental Group	The Standard Supine Control Group will utilize	Patients >18 years old and above	Primary Outcome Incidence of intubation
Prone Positioning on Admission	will position patient in	standard oxygen (O2)	Patients admitted to the hospital	
for Hospitalized COVID-19 Pneumonia Protocol	approximately 15- degree reverse trendelenburg and	device in supine position at approximately 30-60 degrees to target	floor with primary diagnosis of confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia and respiratory failure requiring	Secondary Outcome Maximum oxygen



Estimated completion date July 31, 2022	prone using pillows for comfort. The participant will be asked to rotate to prone positioning every 2 hours while awake and encourage to sleep prone overnight as possible with a goal of 10-12 hours daily.	peripheral capillary oxygen saturation (SpO2) >90% and the participant or nurse will document time in non-supine position.	greater than or equal to 2 Liters(L) Nasal Cannula (NC) to maintain SpO2>90% Ability to independently change positions in bed Able to tolerate prone positioning	Measure of maximum oxygen requirements Length of Stay Measured in days of hospitalization Ventilator-free days Measured in days not on a ventilator Treatment failure of prone positioning due to worsening SpO2 status while prone Whether or not the participant met treatment failure descriptions Mortality Whether or not the participant died while
ACTRN12620000740998 A Randomised Controlled Trial of Early Prone Positioning to Improve Oxygenation in Non-Intubated Adults Admitted to Intensive Care with COVID-19 Estimated completion date: no data Not yet recruiting	lying prone for up to 12 hours a day in a prone position no minimum time been period of proning and no restriction on participants positioning outside of the 'intervention' periods. If this duration is not tolerated for an individual patient, staff will trial a variety of comfort measures The intervention will continue for 72 hours (a maximum of 36 hours prone).		Adults, over the age of 18 COVID-19 Diagnosis Confirmed – either by PCR or as per any unit policy changes that may be applied during the enrolment period Admitted to Intensive Care Any severity of disease (As defined by National COVID 19 Clinical Evidence Taskforce "Australian Guidelines for the clinical care of people with COVID-19" assessable at https://covid19evidence.net.au ). For patients with severe disease, the treating intensivist must be consulted prior to randomisation (see exclusion criterion #2)	Primary Outcome Oxygen Saturations in the blood difference in average gradient of the PaO2:FiO2 (PF ratio) in the prone and control groups over the trial period (72 hours). Calculate by pulse oximetry recordings and oxygen delivery method Secondary Outcome(s) Median number of hours spent prone per day during trial period in the intervention group Number of adverse events in the prone group compared to



	If a participant is unable to tolerate a 12h/day prone position as above Step 1 - Trial 3 x 3hr sessions/9 hours a day Step 2 - If needing longer breaks not prone - Trial 2 x 4hr sessions/8 hours a day Step 3 - Trial 2 x 3hr sessions/6 hours a day Step 4 - 3 x 2 hour sessions/6 hours a day Step 5 - 2 x 2 hours sessions/4 hours a day Step 6 - Abandon proning, document in EMR why proning was abandoned		Willing and able to tolerate prone positioning (A pre-enrollment screening test to ensure they can tolerate the position and can maneuver into & out of the prone position with minimal assistance from their usual care staff only. ) Prior informed consent has been obtained from the patient	control, as collected by staff survey, and any other incidence of adverse events brought to the attention of investigators.
CTRI/2020/06/025804 Effectiveness of awake self proning strategy in COVID-19: An open-labelled randomized controlled trial Not yet recruiting	:The COVID-19 patient will be asked to be in prone position and its effect on improvement of their blood oxygenation will be seen using a finger saturation probe.	The COVID-19 patient will be lying supine or sitting and its effect on improvement of blood oxygenation will be seen using a finger saturation probe	<ul> <li>&gt;18 years of age</li> <li>Diagnosed as COVID-19 positive by RT- PCR</li> <li>Oxygen saturation &lt; 94% as assessed by pulse oximeter or requiring oxygen support</li> <li>Can communicate and self-prone</li> </ul>	Primary outcomes (Phase 1 study): Oxygen saturation measured using by pulse oximeter at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 minutes Primary Outcomes (Phase 2 study): Need for endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation measured at discharge or death Mortality up to 30 days after enrolment Oxygen saturation measured using by pulse oximeter at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 minutes Primary Outcomes (Phase 2 study):



				Need for endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation measured at discharge or death Mortality up to 30 days after enrolment Secondary Outcome For phase 2 study: Phase 2 study: Time to endotracheal intubation/ventilation measured using hospital record at discharge. Duration of requirement of oxygen support measured using clinical proforma at patient discharge Duration of hospital stay measured using using
CTRI/2020/12/029587 Efficacy of awake prone positioning with high flow nasal cannula versus prone positioning with non-rebreathing mask in COVID-19 patients. A prospective comparative study. Not vet recruiting	Awake prone position with high flow nasal cannula: Oxygen will be given through high flow nasal oxygen at flows of 60 L/min and FiO2 adjusted to obtain oxygenation (SpO2 â?¥92%).	Awake prone positioning with non-rebreathing mask: Patient will receive high flow oxygen@10 to 15 liter/min with non- rebreathing mask to maintain adequate oxygenation ((SpO2 â?¥92%).	Adult Confirmed COIVD19 positive patients admitted to ICU for acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. Acute hypoxemic respiratory failure defined by respiratory rate â?¥25 breaths/min, and PaO2/FiO2 â?¤300 mm Hg while spontaneously breathing under	hospital record at discharge Primary Outcome rates of intubation between the two groups at 28 days Secondary Outcome arterial oxygen partial pressures after 1 hour, 6 hours, 12 hours and then every 24 hours after enrolment.
	A minimum of 8 hours of prone positioning per day shall be encouraged.	All patients shall be encouraged prone positioning in this group also	standard oxygen therapy.	intubation free ICU stay of patients. percentage of patients who required non-invasive ventilation at 28 days



NCT04547283	Clinical team guidance	No clinical team	18 Years old and above	Primary Outcome Measures:
Awake-Prone Positioning Strategy for Hypoxic Patients With COVID- 19: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial COMPLETED Not yet published No results	patients	will remain in their natural choice of position	hospitalized patients with positive COVID testing during hospitalization or 7 days prior OR Hospitalized with suspected COVID pneumonia room air oxygen saturation <93% or oxygen requirement > or equal to 3 Liters per minute	Average oxygen saturation to fraction of inspired oxygen ratio Time spent with S/F ratio < 315 Time spent with oxygenation saturation to fraction of inspired oxygen ratio less
				than 315
				Secondary Outcome Measures Highest oxygen support
				Highest level of supplemental oxygen required
				Number of patients requiring ICU admission during study
				Number of patients requiring ICU admission during study period
				Number of patients requiring ICU admission during hospitalization
				Number of patients requiring ICU admission during hospitalization
				Number of patients experiencing who die prior to discharge
				Number of patients who die prior to hospital discharge



				Number of patients requiring intubation
				Number of patients requiring intubation
				Hospital length of stay
				Number of days from hospital admission to discharge
NCT04359797	prone position for as much time as is	remain in their natural choice of position, which	18 years old and above	Primary Outcome Measures: Modified WHO Ordinal Scale
NCT04359797 Pragmatic Trial Exploring Impact of Patient Positioning in the Management of Patients Infected With COVID-19: Supine vs. Prone COMPLETED Not yet published No results	prone position for as much time as is tolerable during hospitalization.	remain in their natural choice of position, which is anticipated to favor a supine, semi-recumbent position.	18 years old and above patients admitted to VUMC who test positive for COVID-19 and require supplemental oxygen, but are not yet mechanically ventilated.	<ul> <li>Primary Outcome Measures: Modified WHO Ordinal Scale</li> <li>The highest level of support on the 5th day after enrollment according to the following scale adjusted for patient status at enrollment according to the same scale and ranked by mean FIO2 within each category, as appropriate.</li> <li>Death</li> <li>ECMO</li> <li>Mechanical ventilation (ranked by mean FIO2)</li> <li>Non-invasive ventilation such as BiPAP (ranked by mean FIO2)</li> <li>High flow nasal cannula,</li> </ul>
				Standard nasal cannula (titrated by L/min up to 15 L/min) or face mask (ranked by mean FIO2) Room air



				Secondary Outcome Measures FIO2
NCT04350723 Awake Prone Position in Hypoxemic Patients With Coronavirus Disease 19 (COVI- PRONE): A Randomized Clinical Trial (COVI-PRONE) Recruiting	The oxygen mask or NIPPV or HFNC will be initiated at the treating team's discretion. The patient will be observed for 15 minutes to ensure that: SPO2 > 90% and the patient is tolerating oxygen mask or NIPPV or HFNC treatment. Proning once SPO2 >90% Procedure: Awake Proning The duration of proning will be a total of 8-10 hours with 1-2 hours break in supine position.	The patient will receive usual care without proning at the discretion of the treating team. The oxygen mask or NIPPV or HFNC will be initiated, the choice of starting oxygen mask versus NIPPV versus HFNC will be up to the treating team	Adults ≥ 18 years of age. Suspected or confirmed COVID- 19. Hypoxemia on room air (SPO2<90%), and oxygen requirement ≥ 0.4 FiO2 (i.e. ≥ 40% oxygen). Bilateral or unilateral chest infiltrates on x-ray as interpreted by the treating team. Admitted to the ICU or an acute care bed where hemodynamic and respiratory monitoring is feasible.	Primary Outcome Measures: Endotracheal intubation Secondary Outcome Measures: Mortality Invasive mechanical ventilation free days Non-invasive ventilation free days ICU length of stay Hospital length of stay Change in oxygenation Complications from proning,
NCT04395144 Randomized-controlled Trial of HFNC Alone vs HFNC and Awake Self-proning for Treatment of Severe COVID-19 Completed Not yet published No results	Prone positioning of patients on nasal high- flow oxygen therapy Procedure: Awake Prone Positioning Patients will receive instruction to remain in prone position as long and as often as possible, up to 16h/24h	Standard decubitus positioning of patients on nasal high-flow oxygen therapy Patients will not receive any special instructions with regards to proning.	COVID-19, either confirmed by SARS-CoV-2 assay, or clinically suspected, with results of the assay pending; Lung infiltrates documented on chest X-ray or chest CT-scan; Significant respiratory distress that requires treatment with HFNO.	Primary Outcome Measures: Rate of Therapeutic failure, defined as a combined outcome of rate of intubation or death Secondary Outcome Measures: Intubation rate Mortality Days spent on mechanical ventilation Days spent in the ICU Hospital stay (in days)



				Other Outcome Measures: Time in prone position Total time spent in prone position, as recorded by nursing or respiratory therapists
				Oxygenation (SpO2/FiO2 ratio)
				oxvgenation
CTRI/2020/12/029898 Self-prone positioning to reduce the need for ventilatory support in COVID-19 patients- a randomized controlled trial	The intervention group (group P) will be instructed to lie prone for a session of at least two hours and a total duration of 12 hours in a day.	The control group participants will receive the conventional treatment for COVID-19	Suspected (presenting with dyspnea, fever, cough) or confirmed COVID-19 positive having SpO2 â?¥90% with FiO2 â?¤0.6.	Primary Outcome Requirement of ventilatory support Secondary Outcome oxygen requirement, oxygen saturation, requirement of
Not yet recruiting				hospital stay and adverse effects of prone position.
CTRI/2021/03/031939 Immediate effect of prone and side lying position on oxygen saturation in patients with COVID 19- A Bandomised Controlled Trial	Prone position after doing Diaphragmatic breathing exercises, thoracic expansion exercise	Supine Position after doing Diaphragmatic breathing exercises, thoracic expansion exercise:	Both male& female patients with covid 19. Patients who are willing to participate in the study.	Primary Outcome Spo2Timepoint: 1 hour
Not yet recruiting	Lateral Position after doing Diaphragmatic breathing exercises, thoracic expansion	Frequency - 1 session per day	Patients with definite diagnosis of COVID-19	
	exercise:		oxygen supplementation (HFNC)	
	Frequency - 1 session per day		Age group of >30 years both male and female	
CTRI/2020/07/026532 Awake Prone Position Versus Repeated Position Change in Moderate to Severe COVID-19	Repeated Position Change: One hour right lateral, two hours prone and one hour left lateral	Awake Prone Positioning for 4h in patients presented with shortness of breath	Adult patients (aged between 18 and 75y) with laboratory confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia,	Primary Outcome repeated positioning with 4h continuous prone positioning in terms of self-reported



patients: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial				dyspnea in a 10- point visual analogue scale
Not yet recruiting				Secondary Outcome oxygenation status (room air oxyhemoglobin saturation or PaO2/FiO2 ratio in arterial blood gas)
				requirement of rescue therapy (high flow nasal oxygen) in both the groups.
				hemodynamic variables in both the groups.
				requirement of mechanical ventilation within 24h in both the groups.
				change in respiratory rate in both the groups.
NCT04760561	Each patient in the	conventional positioning interventions provided by	Aged 18-75 years old	Primary Outcome Measures: Oxygenation
on Oxygenation and Physiological Outcomes Among Awake Non- intubated Patients With COVID-19	be helped into the prone position and encouraged to stay in	which will not include self- prone positioning.	spontaneously breathing patients Confirmed diagnosis of severe	arterial oxygen pressure/fractional inspired oxygen PaO2/FiO2 ratio
Not yet recruiting	the prone position as long as tolerated (at		COVID-19; manifesting as dyspnea with respiratory rate ≥ 30	mmHg.
	least 1 hour).		breaths/min, pulse rate ≥ 100 beats/min, oxygen saturation	SpO2
	Self-prone position will be performed 45		≤93%, or partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO2) to fraction	Peripheral oxygen saturation
	after meals to avoid		150 mmHg.	RUX
	gastrointestinal side effects.		Positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2	combination of the ratio of oxygen saturation measured
	The patient will be maintained in prone		from analysis of nasopharyngeal, oropharyngeal swab, or tracheal secretion specimens and with	by pulse oximetry to fraction of inspired oxygen and respiratory rate
	position until the patient becomes too		chest X-ray showing bilateral infiltrations or chest computerized	([SpO2/FiO2]/respiratory rate)
	tired and		tomographic (C1) images showing exudation or consolidation.	PaO2mmHg



	uncomfortable to keep			
	that position.		Requiring supplemental oxygen (nasal cannula, non-invasive CPAP, non-rebreathing face mask)	Partial pressure of oxygen within arterial blood PCO2mmHg
			Capable of adopting a prone posture independently.	The partial pressure of carbon dioxide within arterial blood
				SaO2 pH Respiratory Rate (RR) (bpm) Heart Rate (HR) (bpm) Blood Pressure (BP) mmHg
				Positive response to prone
				Secondary Outcome Measures: Prone position adverse events
NCT04204440	Combination of process			respiratory distress, dyspnea, use of accessory respiratory muscles, oxygen desaturation SpO2≤70%, hypotension SBP≤90 mmHg, vomiting, aspiration, musculoskeletal pain, discomfort, facial edema, pressure ulcers and accidental withdrawal of catheters, tubes and/or drainages.
Effectiveness of Prone Positioning Combined With High-flow Nasal	Combination of prone position and HFNC HFNC set to a SpO2 of 90-95% combined with	95% if unless indication for intubation is present.	to the diagnostic criteria in effect at the time of inclusion or very strongly suspected.	Measures : Therapeutic failure death or intubation
19 Induced ARDS Recruiting	prone position. At least 2 sessions of 30 minutes or more will be performed daily.		Patient treated by nasal high flow. Moderate or severe ARDS: Informed consent.	Secondary Outcome Measures: Feasibility and safety of prone position in HFNC
	,			patients



				Comfort measurement using a visual-analog scale.
				Presence of complications related with prone position and the use of high-flow nasal cannula:
				Skin ulcers. Intravascular lines displacement HFNC related events (hot air feeling, nasal lesions)
				Efficacy of prone position in HFNC patients
				Evolution of the oxygenation (SpO2/FiO2) in prone position. Efficacy
				Length of HFNC therapy Length of ICU stay Length of mechanical ventilation (in those who require intubation)
				ICU and hospital mortality
INCT20160126026217N4	n this group, participants will be in the prone position for	in this group, participants will be in their usual position for 90 minutes for	All patients with COVID-19 based on standard diagnosed test and had at least one respiratory	Breath shortness.
prone position on respiratory	90 minutes for the first	the first time, after	symptom	Heart rate
status, hemodynamics, hospital	time. After evaluating	evaluating the initial	Age between 18 and 65 years	Mean blood pressure.
stay and transfer to intensive care unit in patients with Covid-19. A	the initial outcomes,	will be asked to be in his	willing to participate in the study	Oxygen saturation. Respiratory rate
randomized controlled clinical trial	asked to be in the	usual positions until the		
Deading Decay siting Status	prone position for 6 to	time of discharge, and		Secondary Outcomes
Pending Recruiting Status	clearance time and	outcomes will be		Mospital stay
	then the secondary	evaluated.		Percentage of patients
	outcomes will be			transferred to the intensive
NCT04363463	Two sessions minimum	semi-seated in bed or		Primary Outcome Measures
	of prone position over	seated in a chair during		



Impact of Prone Position in Patients Under Spontaneous Breathing on Intubation or Non- invasive Ventilation or Death Incidence During COVID-19 Acute Respiratory Distress Recruiting Estimated Completion Date: August 2022	the day. With a total objective of at least 2h30 of cumulated duration over the day. The objective is to spend as much time as possible in prone position if the patient tolerates it well.	the day. The prone position is not allowed during the day (it is allowed at night if it is the natural sleeping position).	Patients aged from 18 to 85 years old with COVID-19 documentation Undergoing oxygen therapy (nasal cannula, medium or high concentration mask or high flow nasal oxygen therapy) Able to move to PP by him/herself or with minimal assistance Written consent Hospitalized in COVID medical department for less than 72 hours	Percent age of patients who will have endotracheal intubation or non-invasive ventilation at two pressure levels and/or die, in each of the 2 randomization groups. Endotracheal intubation Or non-invasive ventilation (NIV) with two pressure levels And/or death Secondary Outcome Measures: Duration in days for the change of 2 points on the WHO ordinal scale Rate (%) of intubation and invasive ventilation in the 2 randomization groups. Rate (%) of non-invasive ventilation at two pressure levels in the 2 randomization groups Duration of oxygen therapy in the 2 randomization groups. Duration of hospitalization in the 2 randomization groups. Hospital mortality and mortality at D28 in the 2 randomization groups Rate (%) of need for transfer to intensive care unit Rate (%) of use of non- invasive ventilation at two pressure levels, intubation



				throughout the entire stay when the stay is longer than 28 days. Compare the impact of the use of non-invasive ventilation and intubation on the entire hospital stay when the hospital stay is longer than 28 days between the two groups.
ISRCTN54917435 Awake prone positioning with high flow nasal cannula in critically ill COVID-19 patients COMPLETED Not yet published No results	prone position or semi- recumbent position with the head of the bed elevated to 30 degrees with the target of 16 hours per day and night. Follow-up is at 2 months after the end of inclusion.	Patients are neither prohibited nor encouraged and may be prescribed by the treating physician at their discretion. All other treatment or interventions for all included patients will follow ordinary local guidelines at the present hospital and are not affected by the study protocol.	Age 18 year or older Admission to a hospital with confirmed or strongly suspected COVID-19 infection Hypoxic respiratory failure defined as a PaO2/FiO2 ratio = 20 kPa (150 mmHg) and/or a FiO2 of = 0.5 to reach a SpO2 of 94% for more than 1 hour Oxygen supplementation (ongoing or planned) with high flow nasal cannuale or noninvasive ventilating support	Primary Outcome Rate of intubation for mechanical ventilation support, recorded in EHR at inclusion and once if applied. Secondary Outcome(s) Time in prone position (pp); every time the patient changes position the timepoint will be recorded in a preprinted protocol (CRF) or the PDMS if available, total time measured once per day and night in hospital Need for vasoactive drugs, day and time for start, change of infusion rate or stop of vasopressor infusion, recorded in PDMS or EHR, evaluated once per day and night in hospital Days on ventilator support, day and time of intubation and start of ventilator support, day and time of extubation and end of ventilator support, recorded in PDMS or EHR, checked once a day in hospital



				In-hospital and ICU length of stay: timepoint recorded in the EHR when enrolled and discharge at hospital/ICU Rate of complications reported in a preprinted protocol (CRF) every 24th hour after inclusion 7- and 30-day mortality: day and time of death recorded in the EHR, checked once within a month after discharge from the hospital Clinical improvement measured using WHO ordinal scale at baseline, day 7 and day 30
IRC120151020024625N12 Comparison of prone and supine position on oxygenation of patients with COVID-19 with acute hypoxemia treated using reservoir mask	Patients who need to continuous oxygen therapy by reserve bag will be placed in a prone position for one hour, every three hours up to three days.	Patients who need to continuous oxygen therapy by reserve bag will be placed in a supine position	Confirmed COVID-19 based on PCR test PaO2/FiO2 ratio between 150-300	Arterial blood oxygen saturation level
COMPLETED Not yet published No results				
IRCT20210316050722N1 Evaluation of pulmonary rehabilitation and prone position on respiratory parameters in patients with COVID-19 admitted to non- specialized ward of Hajar hospital of Shahrekord; a double blind randomized clinical trial Recruiting	The second group of standard treatment measures of the state oxygen protocol with a normal mask + 2 hours of prone position + 15 minutes of lung rehabilitation. Intervention group: Group 3 standard	Control group: Group 1 will only receive the standard treatments recommended in the national protocol.	Covid-positive patients admitted to non-specialized wards From 18 to 75 years old Non-intubated and supported by oxygen therapy, No bed sores on shoulders, knees, and face, Estimated weight less than 100 kg	Spo2. Timepoint: 30 and 60 minutes after being in the prone position and also 60 minutes after the patient is in the supine position



NCT04358939 Evaluation of Prone Position in Conscious Patients on Nasal High-flow Oxygen Therapy for COVID-19 Disease Induced Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome COMPLETED not yet published	the state oxygen protocol with reservoir mask + 2 hours of prone position + 15 minutes of lung rehabilitation. Prone positioning of patients on nasal high- flow oxygen therapy with usual care the objective is to spend as much time as possible, up to 16 hours and beyond in prone position every 24	Patients on nasal high- flow oxygen therapy with usual care and positioned in supine	Adult patient with COVID-19 pneumonia according to the diagnostic criteria in effect at the time of inclusion or very highly suspected. Patient treated with nasal high- flow	Primary Outcome Measures: Therapeutic failure within 14 days of randomization Secondary Outcome Measures: Therapeutic failure within 28 days of randomization Timeframe of intubation or
No results	hours and beyond in prone position every 24 hours. At least two sessions of at least 30 minutes each must be performed daily.		Nild, moderate or severe ARDS: bilateral radiological opacities not fully explained by effusions, atelectasis or nodules; acute hypoxemia with worsening within the previous 7 days, not fully explained by left ventricular failure; PaO2/FiO2 ratio < 300 mmHg (or equivalent SpO2/FiO2). Covered by or having the rights to French social security Informed Consent	Timeframe of intubation or death Evolution of oxygenation (PaO2/FiO2 ratio or SpO2/FiO2 surrogate) over the 14 days following randomization Evolution of the SpO2/FiO2 ratio during the first prone session Evolution of the ROX index during the first prone session Evolution of the World Health Organization disease severity score of COVID Patient comfort before, during and after the first prone position session Occurrence of skin lesions
				on the anterior surface of the body Displacement of invasive devices during reversals



				Days of nasal High-Flow therapy use in the general population, in non-intubated patients and in intubated patients Days spent in the intensive care unit and in the hospital Mortality in the intensive care unit and in the hospital Ventilator-free-days within 28 days of randomization
NCT04477655 Prone Positioning in Non- intubated Patients With Severe COVID-19: a Randomized Controlled Trial COMPLETED Not yet published No results	Patients will be asked to remain in prone position throughout the day as long as possible, with breaks according to tolerance. Patients will be asked to remain in prone position or lateral decubitus throughout the day as long as possible.	Prone positioning will be allowed as a rescue therapy. Oxygen therapy through high flow nasal cannula (HFNC). Inspired fraction of oxygen will be titrated to maintain a capillary saturation of ≥92%	Adult patients with confirmed COVID-19, and requirement of a fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) ≥30% through high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) to maintain a capillary saturation of ≥90%	Primary Outcome Measure: Intubation rate Secondary Outcome Measures : Total hours of prone position at day Total number of prone sessions at day Hours of the longest prone session each day Change in oxygenation 1- hour after first prone session Change in the ROX-index 1- hour after first prone session Total days of prone positioning Adverse effects of prone positioning therapy Mechanical ventilation days Intensive care unit length of stay



				Hospital length of stay
				Hospital mortality
NCT04366856 PROne Positioning in coVID-19 Oxygeno-dependent Patients in Spontaneous Ventilation (PROVID Study) Recruiting	the interventional group will be suggested to spend at least 6 hours a day in prone position	the control group will get no instruction regarding positioning	Laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV- 2 infection as determined by PCR and/or CT scan showing typical radiological findings (ground glass abnormalities) Need for O2 3L/min to get an SpO2 higher or equal to 95%. Patient able to understand and to get in prone position themself No therapeutic limitation	Primary Outcome Measures: Proportion of patients who meet one or both following criteria: need for intubation (for mechanical ventilation), occurrence of death during hospital stay. Secondary Outcome Measures: Proportion of patients admitted to ICU (for patients included out of ICU) Days alive and free from non invasive ventilation (NIV) or high flow nasal canula oxygen delivery (HFNC) (for those neither under NIV or HFNC at the time of study inclusion) Days alive and out of ICU Maximum oxygenotherapy
NCT04247044			Sucreated as confirmed COV/ID40	rate during hospital stay
Awake Prone Positioning to Reduce Invasive VEntilation in COVID-19 Induced Acute Respiratory failurE (APPROVE- CARE) Recruiting	16 nours per day in Prone Positioning with 45 minutes breaks for meals	Standard of care. Prone positioning may be administered as a rescue therapy	Suspected or confirmed COVID19 infection Bilateral Infiltrates on CXR SpO2 <94% on FiO2 40% by either venturi facemask or high flow nasal cannula RR <40	Primary Outcome Measures: The effect of prone positioning on requirement for invasive mechanical ventilation in patients with COVID 19 induced respiratory failure
			Written informed consent	Secondary Outcome Measures: Length of time tolerating prone positioning



				PaO2/FiO2 measured before prone positioning PaO2/FiO2 ratio after 1 hours of prone positioning SpO2/FiO2 ratio measured before prone positioning SpO2/FiO2 ratio after 1 hours of prone positioning Number requiring increase in ventilatory assistance (CPAP+BIPAP+IMV etc) Work of breathing assessment (Respiratory distress scale) Changes in bioimpedance measures of lung edema in patients in PP Use of awake prone positioning as a rescue intervention in control
NCT04667286 Awake Pronation for Covid-19 Treatment Recruiting	Oxygen via a Venturi mask in order to keep an oxygen saturation between 92 and 96% plus PP for a minimum of 10 hrs a day	Oxygen via a Venturi mask in order to keep an oxygen saturation between 92 and 96%	confirmed COVID-19 infection using PCR Acute Respiratory Failure ( 200 <pao2 <300)="" and<br="" fio2="">respiratory rate &lt; 30 atti/min O2 therapy initiated &lt;72 hrs informed consent</pao2>	patientsPrimary Outcome Measures: number of day free of ventilatory supportSecondary Outcome Measures: changes in respiratory pattern daily changes in the ratio SaO2/FiO2dyspnea comfort during PPOther Outcome Measures: number of hours on PP



NCT04477655 Prone positioning in non-intubated patients with COVID-19 associated acute respiratory failure, the PRO-CARF trial	remain in a prone position throughout the day as long as possible, with breaks according to tolerance	prone positioning will be allowed as a rescue therapy. Staff intensivists will monitor the patient's status in both groups on a 24/7 basis. All other treatment will be unchanged and left to the attending physicians	all adult patients admitted to the COVID-19 unit who test positive for COVID-19 by PCR-test and in need for oxygen are eligible for inclusion. fraction of inspired oxygen ≥30% for an oxygen capillary saturation of ≥90%.	Endotracheal intubation rate for mechanical ventilation at 28 days.
NC104589936 Prone Position to Improve Oxygenation in COVID-19 Patients Outside Critical Care (PRONE- COVID)	Patient will first lay supine for a given time period, followed by lateral position on either side, then prone position, lastly return to supine position. Participants are anticipated to stay in prone position for a minimum of 30min to a maximum of 2 hours depending on tolerability.	Patient will first lay supne for a given time period, followed by lateral position on either side, then prone position, lastly return to supine position. Participants are anticipated to stay in prone position for a minimum of 30min to a maximum of 2 hours depending on tolerability. Participants will be guided in how to independently position themselves and rotate through the cycle of positions.	Have confirmed or suspected COVID-19 or non-COVID pneumonia (confirmed with radiological changes) FiO2 ≥24% or requiring basic respiratory support (supplementary oxygen via face mask, nasal cannula, venturi, non- rebreathe bag) to achieve clinical target SpO2 (e.g. SpO2 92-96%), ensuring patient is on appropriately titrated oxygen to be within this range. Be able to provide informed consent Communicate and cooperate with the procedure Rotate and adjust position independently No anticipated airway issues	Primary Outcome Measures Peripheral Oxygen saturation (FiO2) Secondary Outcome Measures: PaO2 :FiO2 ratio calculated from formulae Respiratory rate measured with Masimo device Heart rate measured with Masimo device Blood pressure measured with Masimo device Patient reported severity of breathlessness on a continuous linear scale of 0 to 10cm (10cm being the most severe) Patient tolerability of prone position on a continuous linear scale of 0 to 10cm (10cm being the most unacceptable) Investigator experience of delivering prone positioning To assess patient's peripheral oxygen saturation



NCT04427969 Early Prone Position on Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia (Prone Position)	Behavioral: prone position to lay in prone position at least 12 hour in a day at ICU	patient who only get conventional oxygen therapy as respiratory supply	Patients who developed acute respiratory failure due to coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia received conventional oxygen therapy with reservoir mask oxygen at the stage of admission to the intensive care unit older than 18 years old	Primary Outcome Measure: intensive care unit stay short term mortality Secondary Outcome Measure blood gases
NCT04325906 Early PP With HFNC Versus HFNC in COVID-19 Induced Moderate to Severe ARDS	Proning + HFNC	HFNC only no proning	COVID-19 induced adult ARDS patients admitted to the medical ICU PaO2/FiO2 is less than 200mmHg or FIO2 ≥ 0.4 is required to maintain SpO2 at 88-93% on HFNC treatment	Primary Outcome Measure: Treatment failure Intubation rate Secondary Outcome Measures: Efficacy of PP
NCT04344587 Awake Prone Position for Early Hypoxemia in COVID-19 (APPEX- 19)	Self-proning A recommendation to "prone" while lying in bed (4 times for 1-2 hours each during the day and at night every 24 hours). A reminder to keep track of the time spent in 1) prone position, 2) lying flat on back, 3) lying on side, 4) sitting up, and 5) standing or walking	Usual Care	Assigned to or admitted to a COVID-19 ward team at a participating site (these teams only admit patients who are under investigation for COVID-19 or who have confirmed COVID-19 infection) via the emergency department (ED) within the last 24 hours Have access to their own functioning smartphone in the hospital room English or Spanish-speaking Ability to read simple instructions and answer simple written questions	Change in respiratory status Length of time in each position Reports of dyspnea, discomfort Length of hospital stay Invasive mechanical ventilation ARDS diagnosis Loss of IV access as a consequence of turning Hospital mortality
NCT04383613	The intervention is prone positioning (i.e.,	Standard of care	Patients ≥ 18 years of age	All-cause mortality (4 weeks)



Prone Positioning for Patients on General Medical Wards With COVID19 (COVID-PRONE)	instructing a patient to lie on their stomach while they are in bed) for 7 days or until the first of study hospital discharge or not requiring supplemental oxygen for >24 hours or study outcome.	Not specifically instructed to lie on their stomach	COVID-19 infection is suspected by the treating clinician or confirmed by diagnostic test Able to lie on their stomach with verbal instruction Requiring supplemental oxygen less than or equal to 50% FiO2 Capable to make treatment related decisions Hospitalized in the last 48 hours with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection or diagnosed for nosocomial infection in the last 48 hours during their hospital stav	Invasive or non-invasive mechanical ventilation need for FiO2 of 60% or more
KCT0005258 The effect of prone positioning on non-intubated patients with postoperative acute respiratory failure	Prone positioning at least 12 hours in prone positioning group position change q 2hr(within 45 degree of Lt or Rt decubitus position change). dexmedetomidine continuous infusion if RASS > +1, target : light sedation	supine positioning and keep going management of respiratory failure	adult patients (18 years and older) with acute respiratory failure <br /&gt;- 30 minutes after applying High flow nasal cannula(FiO2 0.5, flow 50L) -&gt; PaO<sub>2</sub>&amp;It 150 mm Hg, PaCO<sub>2</sub>&amp;It 50 mm Hg</br 	Intubation rate within 7 days Lung ultrasound reaeration score PaO <sub>2</sub> /FiO <sub>2</sub> ratio \ Mechanical ventilation (MV) duration ventilator-free days tracheostomy rate ICU, 30 days, 90 days mortality Complication related to prone positioning sedative