

Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, National Institutes of Health, UP Manila In cooperation with the Philippine Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Funded by the DOH AHEAD Program through the PCHRD

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

Should nasal sprays be used in the prevention and treatment of COVID-19 infection?

Review by: Christopher G. Manalo, MD, Maria Teresa Sanchez-Tolosa, MD, D Clin Epi, FPDS, Myzelle Anne Infantado, PTRP, MSc (cand.), Marissa M. Alejandria, MD, MSc

RECOMMENDATION

We suggest against the use of nasal spray as an adjunct to treatment of COVID-19 infection. (Low certainty of evidence; Weak recommendation)

There was no consensus on the use of nasal spray in addition to other preventive interventions such as vaccination, proper use of personal protective equipment, and adherence to quarantine and isolation protocols to prevent COVID-19 infection.

Consensus Issues

The statement suggesting against the use of nasal spray as an adjunct to treatment was unanimously approved by the panel members due to the high certainty of the risk of adverse events and the uncertain benefits from the use of glycerol- and hydrogen-peroxide-based nasal sprays. For the panel, the statement warranted a weak recommendation because (1) it is not a primary intervention and (2) despite the risk of harm, it may not necessarily result in overuse, unlike other drugs such as antibiotics.

The decision regarding the statement on the use of nasal spray as an adjunctive to other preventive interventions reached an impasse. The panel members who were inclined towards its use despite possible added costs based their arguments on the risk reduction of developing COVID-19, its availability in the local market, and its authorization from the Philippine Food and Drug Administration as a medical device intended for short-term use. They also claimed that it could be a weak recommendation and that it would not be a replacement for any existing recommended intervention. However, other panel members who voted against its use placed a greater value on the benefit of vaccinations. A panel member also voiced opposition because the word "suggest" implied a strong recommendation for use despite the fact that only two small trials demonstrated benefit and several clinical trials are still underway. Another posited that glycerol-based sprays, which can be oily, might obstruct breathing when used with masks.

Key Findings

As an adjunct to prevention, two randomized controlled trials on healthy asymptomatic healthcare workers providing direct care to COVID-19 patients showed that the use of iota-carrageenan or dimethylsulfoxide ethanol nasal spray provided significant benefit in reducing the risk of COVID-19 infection compared to routine care with or without saline placebo. The risk of adverse events and discontinuation of the intervention due to intolerance, comparing treatment and control groups, was inconclusive. Nasal sprays in these trials were used as an adjunct to proper personal protective equipment and universal precautions. Overall certainty of evidence was low.



As an adjunct to treatment, nine randomized controlled trials on patients with mild and moderate COVID-19 showed that the use of nasal sprays containing different active agents (one study each for glycerol, hydrogen peroxide, nitric oxide, ivermectin, momethasone and triamcinolone; povidone iodine in three studies) did not result in significant benefit in terms of viral clearance and showed inconsistent benefit in terms of symptomatic relief. Furthermore, the risk for adverse events (mostly minor, such as sensation of nasal and throat burning, frequent sneezing, altered taste sensation, and minor epistaxis) was significantly higher in the nasal spray arm compared to placebo. Overall certainty of evidence was low.

Introduction

As the primary sites of infection and replication of SARS-CoV-2 are through the nasal cavity, it has been theorized that early and targeted treatment of the nasal mucosa may block viral entry and interfere locally with the cascade of viral replication and host infection.[1] In vitro and preclinical studies have shown that the effectiveness of various active compounds in nasal sprays against SARS-CoV-2 is mainly based on the creation of a film barrier [1] and the general vulnerability of SARS-CoV-2 to osmotic [2,3], chemical [4], cytokine-regulated [5,6], free-radical membrane [7] disruption, and oxidative damage [8,9]. However, safety and tolerability concerns that arise from potential irritation of nasal mucosa, and allergic reactions to components of the products are major concerns. This systematic review aimed to estimate the effectiveness of nasal sprays as an adjunctive intervention in the prevention and treatment of COVID-19.

Review Methods

The Pubmed for Medline database, ClinicalTrials.gov, and WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform were searched on 18 November 2021 using the search terms "nasal spray" and "COVID-19" or "SARS-COV-2." The medRxiv and bioRxiv databases were also searched for pre-publication articles. Full texts were reviewed to determine which studies would be included in the final analysis. When randomized controlled trials were available, we excluded brief communications, reviews, cohort studies, case-control studies, case series, and case reports in the final screening of studies.

Results

As Adjunct to Treatment of COVID-19 Infection

A total of nine randomized controlled trials [3, 7, 9-15] were reviewed and analyzed to determine the efficacy of nasal sprays as an adjunct to the routine treatment of mild to moderate COVID-19. The trials investigated nasal sprays containing seven different ingredients namely glycerol [3] nitric oxide [7], povidone iodine [9,11,12], hydrogen peroxide [10], ivermectin [13], momethasone [14], and triamcinolone [15]. Nasal sprays together with routine care were compared to routine care with placebo. Clinically important outcomes were viral clearance, forward transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to household contacts, symptomatic relief of COVID-19 symptoms, and adverse events. Characteristics of studies included in this review are shown in Appendix 3A.

Outcome: Viral Clearance

Two studies [11,13] on two different active agents provided data on viral clearance, which was defined as a negative SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR after the use of nasal spray. The first study, which utilized a povidone iodine-based nasal spray, showed statistically significant viral clearance (RR 10.00, 95% CI 2.61-38.31; Low Certainty) after only one application of the nasal spray [11]. Another study, which utilized ivermectin-based nasal spray, also showed statistically significant viral clearance viral clearance (RR 1.26, 95% CI 1.07-1.47; Low Certainty) [13]. In the latter study, viral clearance



was defined as two consecutive negative SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR. Certainty of evidence in both studies were low due to very serious risk of bias. Both studies had unclear to high risk of bias in terms of participant and outcome assessor blinding, and allocation concealment. An attempt to do pooled analysis further downgraded the certainty of evidence to very low due to very serious risk of bias, highly significant heterogeneity resulting in very serious inconsistency, and overall imprecision (RR 3.40, 95% CI 0.13-91.80; I²=88.9%; Very Low Certainty).

Outcome: Forward Transmission

One study, which utilized hydrogen peroxide-based nasal spray, investigated the incidence of forward transmission of SARS-CoV-2 among family members of 106 study participants. In this study, the risk for forward transmission of SARS-CoV-2 between intervention and placebo groups was inconclusive (RR 0.69, 95% CI 0.24-1.95; Moderate Certainty).[10] Certainty of evidence was downgraded to moderate due to imprecision of risk estimates.

Outcome: Symptomatic Relief of COVID-19 Symptoms

Relief of COVID-19 symptoms was reported in three trials which utilized three different types of nasal sprays. The trial on glycerol-based nasal spray [3] reported statistically significant benefits in the relief of sore throat (RR 2.50, 95% CI 1.24-5.05; High Certainty), fever (RR 1.12, 95% CI 1.04-1.20; High Certainty), and ageusia (RR 3.50, 1.92-6.27; High Certainty) but inconclusive benefit on the relief of headache (RR 1.24, 95% CI 1.00-1.54; Moderate Certainty). Length of follow-up for relief of symptoms ranged from 7 to 14 days. Certainty of evidence on relief of loss of headache was downgraded to moderate certainty due to imprecision of risk interval estimates.

In another trial which compared hydrogen peroxide-based nasal spray versus control [10], there were inconclusive results for the relief of cough (RR 1.23, 95% 0.50-3.02; Moderate Certainty), ageusia (RR 2.50, 95% 0.78-7.97; Moderate Certainty), anosmia (RR 1.73, 95% 0.62-4.82; Moderate Certainty), dyspnea (RR 2.50, 95% CI 0.57-11.05; Moderate Certainty), and sore throat (RR 0.51, 95% 0.10-2.51; Moderate Certainty). Length of follow-up for relief of symptoms ranged from 4 to 6 days. Certainty of evidence were all downgraded to moderate certainty due to the imprecision of risk interval estimates. One small trial, which utilized a povidone iodine-based nasal spray [12], also reported inconclusive effect in terms of relief of the loss of sense of smell (RR 3.25, 95% 0.93-11.40; Moderate Certainty) between the intervention and the placebo. This finding was in agreement with the results from the study which used hydrogen peroxide-based nasal spray.[10] Certainty of evidence was downgraded to moderate due to imprecision of risk interval estimates.

Outcome: Adverse Events

The risk of developing adverse events associated with the use of nasal sprays was reported in four trials that utilized three different types of nasal sprays, and the pooled risk was found to be significantly higher in the nasal spray arm compared to control (RR 6.18, 95%CI 1.79-21.37). The nasal spray with highest risk for developing adverse events when compared to placebo was found in the glycerol-based nasal spray (RR 81.70, 95% CI 5.10-1309.53; High Certainty) [3] followed by the hydrogen peroxide-based nasal spray (RR 5.87, 95% CI 2.53-13.61; High Certainty) [10], and lastly in the povidone iodine-based nasal sprays (RR 2.91, 95% CI 0.88-9.63; I²=0%; Moderate Certainty).[11,12] All reported adverse events were considered minor. Most common adverse events observed were burning sensation in the nose and throat, frequent sneezing, altered sense of taste, altered tongue sensation, and minor epistaxis. No life-threatening adverse events were observed in all four trials. Certainty of evidence was downgraded to moderate certainty because of the serious risk of bias from the lack of blinding in one of the trials that utilized povidone iodine-based nasal sprays.[11,12]



As Adjunct to Prevention of COVID-19 Infection

We found two randomized controlled trials that enrolled a total of 626 healthcare workers providing direct care to COVID-19 patients from Argentina [1] and Iran [4]. Healthcare workers included in these studies were healthy physicians, nurses, kinesiologists and other medical professionals providing direct care to COVID-19 patients. Study participants were asymptomatic [1,4] and IgG and IgM seronegative [4] at the beginning of the study to confirm that they were not infected with COVID-19. In both studies, participants in treatment and control groups had similar baseline characteristics. Only the Argentine study specified that study participants were still unvaccinated during the trial implementation. The study further specified that the trial was carried out during the time when Argentina was experiencing sustained community transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Thus, even though the study participants were healthcare workers, there was still significant participant community exposure. Two different active interventions were analyzed in this evidence review. The Argentine study used iota-carrageenan (IC) 1 puff per nostril (delivering 0.17mg of IC) compared to routine care with saline solution placebo. Meanwhile, the Iranian study used dimethylsulfoxide ethanol (3% dimethylsulfoxide with 20% ethanol; DMSO-ethanol) 1 puff per nostril every 8 hours compared to routine care without placebo. Clinically important outcomes measured in the studies were incidence of COVID-19 infection in 21-28 days, development of adverse events, and discontinuation of the intervention due to intolerance. Characteristics of studies included in this review are shown in Appendix 3B.

Outcome: Risk of Developing COVID-19

The use of IC-based nasal spray [1] as an additional preventive measure significantly reduced the risk of developing COVID-19 among healthcare workers within 21 days (RR 0.20, 95% CI 0.04-0.91; Moderate Certainty). No death or hospitalization for any cause was observed in either the intervention and control groups. Likewise, the use of DMSO-ethanol-based nasal spray [2] significantly reduced the risk of developing COVID-19 among healthcare workers within 28 days (RR 0.13, 95% CI 0.02-0.98; Moderate Certainty). Certainty of evidence in this outcome was downgraded to moderate certainty due incomplete outcome assessment [1] and lack of participant blinding.[4]

Outcome: Adverse Events

The risk of adverse events (RR 1.14, 95% CI 0.73-1.79; Low Certainty) and discontinuation of the intervention due to intolerance (RR 0.67, 95% CI 0.11-3.99; Low Certainty), comparing treatment with IC spray and control groups, was inconclusive. No significant difference was found in the occurrence of commonly observed adverse events, namely, headache (RR 1.32, 95% CI 0.66-2.65) and rhinorrhea (RR 0.50, 95% CI 0.13-1.99) between the use of IC-based nasal spray compared to placebo [1]. No data on adverse events were provided in the DMSO-ethanol-based nasal spray study. Certainty of evidence in this outcome was downgraded to low certainty due to incomplete outcome assessment and imprecision of risk estimates.

Evidence to Decision

Presently, at least ten different [1-10] active agents are being investigated as potential prophylactic and treatment interventions against COVID-19 infection. However, current studies providing moderate to high certainty of evidence are still very limited. Among the active agents presented in this evidence base, IC- and glycerol-based nasal sprays are currently available commercially with regulatory approval for use for prevention and symptomatic relief of common viral respiratory infections but not yet for COVID-19. Certain limitations of currently available data should be taken into careful consideration. In both analyses, the studies had relatively short lengths of follow up ranging from 21 to 28 days for prevention studies and 14 to 60 days for



symptomatic treatment studies. Thus, long-term effectiveness and adverse events in prolonged use could not be established at this time. Most importantly, clinical effectiveness of nasal sprays was intended as an adjunct to vaccination, proper use of personal protective equipment like masking, hand hygiene, physical distancing, and adherence to quarantine and isolation protocols for the prevention and as an adjunct to routine treatment of COVID-19.

Currently, no cost-effectiveness study on nasal sprays in the prevention of COVID-19 is available for review. The price of nasal spray in local market ranges from Php 297 (20 mL with each mL containing 1.2 mg of Carrageenan) to Php 1,050 (COVISPRAY).

Recommendations from Other Groups

Currently, there are no published recommendations from the World Health Organization, US National Institutes of Health, and the Centers of Disease Control regarding the use of nasal sprays in preventing COVID-19 infection.

In September 2021, the Philippine Food and Drug Administration released FDA Advisory No. 2021-2289 to notify the public that nasal spray products have been authorized as medical devices with non-specific effect against pathogens. The advisory further emphasized that nasal sprays should not be used as substitutes to vaccines and interventions to prevent or treat SARS-CoV-2 infection.[16]

IC-based nasal sprays have been approved in USA (FDA Medical Devices Databases Establishment Registration & Device & Listing D 441354)[1], Latin America [1], Europe [1], France [3], Asia[1], and Australia [1] as over-the-counter products for common cold and related diseases.

Research Gaps

Despite the significant reduction in the risk of COVID-19 infection, findings in these trials should be replicated with longer follow-up periods to ascertain long term effectiveness, safety, and tolerability. Furthermore, effect of nasal sprays on viral clearance and symptomatic relief should still be established. At present, seven randomized controlled trials are investigating iota-carrageenan (2 studies), ivermectin + carrageenan (1 study), GLS-1200 which is a quinine-based agent (1 study), hypochlorous acid (1 study), and nitrous oxide (2 studies) as prophylactic and therapeutic nasal spray against COVID-19 infection.

References

- [1] Figueroa JM, Lombardo ME, Dogliotti A, Flynn LP, Giugliano R, Simonelli G, et al. Efficacy of a Nasal Spray Containing Iota-Carrageenan in the Postexposure Prophylaxis of COVID-19 in Hospital Personnel Dedicated to Patients Care with COVID-19 Disease. Int J Gen Med. 2021 Oct 1;14:6277-6286. Doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S328486. PMID: 34629893; PMCID: PMC8493111.
- [2] Shrivastava R, Shrivastava R, Johansen B, Allain T. Anti-Inflammatory and Antiviral Osmotic Polymeric Film to Treat Covid-19 Early-Stage Infection. J Inflamm Res. 2021 Mar 30;14:1195-1206. Doi: 10.2147/JIR.S306434. PMID: 33833542; PMCID: PMC8019615.
- [3] Shrivastava R, Vijay M, Maneby N, Shrivastava R. Clinical Efficacy of an Osmotic, Antiviral and Antiinflammatory Polymeric Nasal Film to Treat Covid-19 Early-Phase Respiratory Symptoms. Open Access J Clin Trials. 2021 May 18;13:11-20. Doi: 10.2147/OAJCT.S307144
- [4] Hosseinzadeh A, Tavakolian A, Kia V, Ebrahimi H, Sheibani H, Binesh E, et al. Application of nasal spray containing dimethyl sufoxide (DMSO) and ethanol during the COVID-19 pandemic may protect healthcare workers: A randomized controlled trial. 2021. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.07.06.21259749v2.



- [5] Meng Z, Wang T, Chen L, Chen X, Li L, Qin X, Li H, Luo J. An Experimental trial of recombinant human interferon alpha nasal drops to prevent COVID-19 in medical staff in an epidemic area. 2020. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.11.20061473v2
- [6] Hao SR, Yan R, Zhang SY, Lian JS, Cai H, Zhang XL, Zheng L, Jia HY, Hu JH, Yu GD, Gu JQ, Ye CY, Jin CL, Lu YF, Xin JJ, Sheng JF, Yang YD. Interferon-α2b spray inhalation did not shorten virus shedding time of SARS-CoV-2 in hospitalized patients: a preliminary matched case-control study. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B. 2020 Aug.;21(8):628-636. Doi: 10.1631/jzus.B2000211. PMID: 32748578; PMCID: PMC7423845.
- [7] Winchester S, John S, Jabbar K, John I. Clinical efficacy of nitric oxide nasal spray (NONS) for the treatment of mild COVID-19 infection. J Infect. 2021 Aug;83(2):237-279. Doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2021.05.009. Epub 2021 May 13. PMID: 33992687; PMCID: PMC8117664.
- [8] Baxter AL, Schwartz KR, Johnson RW, Kuchinski AM, Swartout KM, Srinivasa R, et al. Rapid Initiation of Nasal Saline Irrigation to Reduce Morbidity and Mortality in COVID-19 Outpatients: A Randomized Clinical Trial Compared to a National Dataset. 2021. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.08.16.21262044v2
- [9] Guenezan J, Garcia M, Strasters D, Jousselin C, Lévêque N, Frasca D, Mimoz O. Povidone Iodine Mouthwash, Gargle, and Nasal Spray to Reduce Nasopharyngeal Viral Load in Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021 Apr 1;147(4):400-401. Doi: 10.1001/jamaoto.2020.5490. PMID: 33538761; PMCID: PMC7863011.
- [10] Domênico MBD, Collares K, Santos RBD, Lenz U, Antunes VP, Godinho VW, et al. Hydrogen peroxide as an auxiliary treatment for COVID-19 in Brazil: a randomized double-blind clinical trial. Epidemiol Health. 2021;43:e2021051. Doi: 10.4178/epih.e2021051. Epub 2021 Aug 3. PMID: 34529913.
- [11] Arefin MK. Povidone Iodine (PVP-I) Oro-Nasal Spray: An Effective Shield for COVID-19 Protection for Health Care Worker (HCW), for all. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021 Apr 8:1-6. Doi: 10.1007/s12070-021-02525-9. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33846691; PMCID: PMC8026810.
- [12] Zarabanda D, Vukkadala N, Phillips KM, Qian ZJ, Mfuh KO, Hatter MJ, et al. The Effect of Povidonelodine Nasal Spray on Nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load: A Randomized Control Trial. Laryngoscope. 2021 Nov 1. Doi: 10.1002/lary.29935. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34724213.
- [13] Aref ZF, Bazeed SEES, Hassan MH, Hassan AS, Rashad A, Hassan RG, Abdelmaksoud AA. Clinical, Biochemical and Molecular Evaluations of Ivermectin Mucoadhesive Nanosuspension Nasal Spray in Reducing Upper Respiratory Symptoms of Mild COVID-19. Int J Nanomedicine. 2021 Jun 15;16:4063-4072. Doi: 10.2147/IJN.S313093. PMID: 34163159; PMCID: PMC8215847.
- [14] Kasiri H, Rouhani N, Salehifar E, Ghazaeian M, Fallah S. Mometasone furoate nasal spray in the treatment of patients with COVID-19 olfactory dysfunction: A randomized, double blind clinical trial. Int Immunopharmacol. 2021 Sep;98:107871. Doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2021.107871. Epub 2021 Jun 12. PMID: 34147912; PMCID: PMC8196319.
- [15] Yildiz E, Koca Yildiz S, Kuzu S, Günebakan Ç, Bucak A, Kahveci OK. Comparison of the Healing Effect of Nasal Saline Irrigation with Triamcinolone Acetonide Versus Nasal Saline Irrigation alone in COVID-19 Related Olfactory Dysfunction: A Randomized Controlled Study. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021 Jul 10:1-6. Doi: 10.1007/s12070-021-02749-9. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34277384; PMCID: PMC8272442.
- [16] Philippine Food and Drug Administration. Claims of Nasal Spray Products Authorized by the Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available from: https://www.fda.gov.ph/wpcontent/uploads/2021/09/FDA-ADVISORY-NO.-2021-2289.pdf



Appendix 1. Evidence to Decision

Table 1. Summary of initial judgments prior to the actual panel meeting (n = 5)

FACTORS			JUDGM	ENT	RESEARCH EVIDENCE/ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FROM PANEL MEMBERS
Problem	No	Yes (5)			• Especially in instances when an individual is not yet fully protected by vaccine immunity and in instances when personal protective equipment like masks are temporarily being removed. [1,4]
Benefits	Large (1)	Moderate (4)	Small	Uncertain	 As an adjunct to prevention, two randomized controlled trials on healthy asymptomatic healthcare workers providing direct care to COVID-19 patients showed that the use of iota-carrageenan or dimethylsulfoxide ethanol nasal spray provided significant benefit in reducing the risk of COVID-19 infection compared to routine care with or without saline placebo. Nasal sprays in these trials were used as adjunct to proper personal protective equipment and universal precautions. Overall certainty of evidence was low. As an adjunct to treatment, nine randomized controlled trials on patients with mild and moderate COVID-19 showed that the use of nasal sprays containing different active agents (one study each for glycerol, hydrogen peroxide, nitric oxide, ivermectin, momethasone and triamcinolone; povidone iodine in three studies) did not result in significant benefit in terms of viral clearance and showed inconsistent benefit in terms of symptomatic relief.
Harm	Large	Small (4)	Uncertain (1)	Varies	 The risk of adverse events and discontinuation due to intolerance, comparing adjunctive treatment to prevention and control groups, was inconclusive. As adjunct to treatment, the risk for adverse events (mostly minor, such as sensation of nasal and throat burning, frequent sneezing, altered taste sensation, and minor epistaxis) was significantly higher in the nasal spray arm compared to placebo.



FACTORS			JUDGM	IENT			RESEARCH EVIDENCE/ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FROM PANEL MEMBERS	
Certainty of Evidence	High	Moderate	Low (5)	Very low			•	Overall certainty of evidence for nasal spray as adjunct to prevention and treatment was low due to serious risk of bias and imprecision.
Balance of effects	Favors nasal spray (4)	Does not favor nasal spray	Uncertain (1)	Var	ies		•	As an adjunct to prevention, two randomized controlled trials on healthy asymptomatic healthcare workers providing direct care to COVID-19 patients showed that the use of iota- carrageenan or dimethylsulfoxide ethanol nasal spray provided significant benefit in reducing the risk of COVID-19 infection compared to routine care with or without saline placebo. As an adjunct to treatment, nine randomized controlled trials on patients with mild and moderate COVID- 19 showed that the use of nasal sprays containing different active agents (one study each for glycerol, hydrogen peroxide, nitric oxide, ivermectin, momethasone and triamcinolone; povidone iodine in three studies) did not result in significant benefit in terms of viral clearance and showed inconsistent benefit in terms of symptomatic relief. The risk of adverse events and discontinuation due to intolerance, comparing adjunctive treatment to prevention and control groups, was inconclusive. As adjunct to treatment, the risk for adverse events (mostly minor, such as sensation of nasal and throat burning, frequent sneezing, altered taste sensation, and minor epistaxis) was significantly higher in the nasal spray arm compared to placebo
Values	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability (4)	Possibly NO important uncertainty or variability (1)	No important or vari	No important uncertainty or variability		•	Lack of studies investigating long-term effectiveness, safety, and tolerability
Resources Required	Uncertain (4)	Large cost	Moderate Costs	Negligible costs or savings	Negligible Moderate costs or savings savings (1)		•	Currently, no cost-effectiveness study on nasal sprays in the prevention of COVID-19 is available for review.



FACTORS			JUDGN		RESEARCH EVIDENCE/ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FROM PANEL MEMBERS			
							•	The price of nasal spray in local market ranges from Php 297 ¹ (each mL with 1.2 mg of Carrageenan) to Php 1050 ² (COVISPRAY) (without shipping fee).
Certainty of evidence of required resources	No included studies (4)	Very low (1)	Low	Moderate	High		•	Currently, no cost-effectiveness study on nasal sprays in the prevention of COVID-19 is available for review.
Cost effectiveness	No included studies (5)	Favors the comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison	Favors the intervention			•	Currently, no cost-effectiveness study on nasal sprays in the prevention of COVID-19 is available for review. Cost is probably one of the important considerations. However, if the spray will indeed prevent many infections, the intervention will likely be deemed extremely cost-effective. $(n = 1)$
Equity	Uncertain (2)	Reduced	Probably no impact (2)	Increased (1)			•	No research evidence found.
Acceptability	Uncertain (1)	No	Yes (4)	Varies			•	Nasal sprays have been authorized as medical devices by the FDA of the Philippines. (FDA Advisory 2021-2289)
Feasibility	Uncertain (1)	No	Yes (4)	Varies			•	Nasal sprays are available in local drugstores.

¹ <u>https://www.mims.com/philippines/company/info/mono%20chem-pharm</u>

² https://www.lazada.com.ph/products/preventia-covispray-i2448793605.html



Appendix 2. Search Yield and Results





Appendix 3A. Table of Included Studies for Nasal Spray as Adjunct to Treatment of COVID-19 Study Characteristics of Included Studies

Study ID Title Author	Study Design	Setting/ Country	Total number of Patients Included	Population	Intervention	Comparator/ Control	Outcomes
Aref et. Al., 2021 [13] Clinical, Biochemical and Molecular Evaluations of Ivermectin Mucoadhesive Nanosuspension Nasal Spray in Reducing Upper Respiratory Symptoms of Mild COVID-19	Randomized Controlled Trial	Egypt	114	Mild COVID-19	Ivermectin Nanosuspension Nasal Spray + Routine Care (n=57)	Routine Care included paracetamol 500 mg IV every 6 hours, hydroxychloroquine 500 mg every 12 hours, azithromycin 1g on day 1 then 500 mg per 3 days or clarithromycin 500 mg every 12 h for 7-14 days, oseltamivir 150 mg every 12 hours for 5 days, ascorbic acid 500 mg every 12 hours 1(n=57)	Viral Clearance defined as 2 consecutive negative RT- PCR (54/57 vs 43/57; p=0.004) Symptom duration: fever, cough, dyspnea, anosmia, GIT*, PCR conversion *NS Duration taken for nasopharyngeal swab to be negative Improvement of the abnormal routine laboratory parameters 7 days after treatment: NLR, CRP, d-dimer, ferritin
Arefin, et. Al, 2021 [11] Virucidal effect of povidone iodine on COVID-19 in the nasopharynx: an open-label randomized clinical trial	Randomized Controlled Trial	Iran	81	Mild, Moderate, Severe COVID- 19	Povidone lodine 0.5%, 0.6% Nasal Spray (n=54)	Normal Saline (n=27)	Viral clearance after one application Number of adverse events
DiDomenico et. Al., 2021 [10] Hydrogen peroxide as an auxiliary treatment for COVID-19 in Brazil: a randomized double- blind clinical trial	Randomized Controlled Trial	Brazil	106	Mild and Moderate COVID-19	Hydrogen peroxide 0.5%, 1.0% Nasal Wash (n=63)	Deionized water with Mint Essence + Routine Care (n=43)	Forward transmission Improvement Adverse Events



Study ID Title Author	Study Design	Setting/ Country	Total number of Patients Included	Population	Intervention	Comparator/ Control	Outcomes
Guenezan et. Al., 2021 [19] Povidone lodine Mouthwash, Gargle, and Nasal Spray to Reduce Nasopharyngeal Viral Load in Patients With COVID- 19: A Randomized Clinical Trial	Randomized Controlled Trial	9842	24	Mild COVID-19 in the Outpatient	Povidone Iodine 1% Mouthwash + Gargle + Nasal Spray (n=12)	Routine Care (n=12)	Mean Difference in Viral Titer at Day 0
Kasiri et al., 2021 [14] Mometasone furoate nasal spray in the treatment of patients with COVID-19 olfactory dysfunction: A randomized, double blind clinical trial	Randomized Controlled Trial Prospective double-blind trial	Iran	77	Mild and Moderate COIVD-19	Mometasone furoate 0.05% nasal spray BID for four weeks (n=39)	Saline spray + Routine Care (n=38)	Olfactory dysfunction
Shrivastava et. Al., 2021 [3] Clinical Efficacy of an Osmotic, Antiviral and Anti-Inflammatory Polymeric Nasal Film to Treat Covid-19 Early- Phase Respiratory Symptoms	Randomized Controlled Trial	France	200	Mild and Moderate COVID-19	Glycerol (COVISPRAY) + Symptomatic Treatment (n=98)	Symptomatic Treatment (n=102)	Overall Clinical Improvement Adverse Events
Winchester et. Al., 2021 [7] Clinical efficacy of nitric oxide nasal spray (NONS) for the treatment of mild COVID-19 infection	Randomized Controlled Trial	United Kingdom	80	Mild COVID-19	Nitric oxide Nasal Spray (NONS) 5-6x/day, two s[rays per nostril per dose, 120-140 microliter of solution per spray) for 9 days (n=20)	Placebo (n=20)	Overall Clinical Improvement Adverse Events
Yildiz et. Al., 2021 [15] Comparison of the Healing Effect of Nasal Saline Irrigation with Triamcinolone Acetonide Versus Nasal Saline Irrigation alone in COVID-19 Related Olfactory Dysfunction: A Randomized Controlled Study	Randomized Controlled Trial	India	100	Mild COVID-19 Olfactory Dysfunction	Triamcinolone acetonide nasal spray + Nasal irrigation (n=50)	Nasal Irrigation (n=50)	Self-rating Olfactory Score Duration of Olfactory Dysfunction NI: 12.2 ± 2.2 NS+NI: 5.6 ± 3.2 MD -6.6 days; 95% CI - 7.68, -5.52



Study ID Title Author	Study Design	Setting/ Country	Total number of Patients Included	Population	Intervention	Comparator/ Control	Outcomes
Zarabanda et. Al., 2021 [12]	Randomized Controlled Trial	USA	35	Mild COVID-19	Povidone lodine 0.5%, 2% in water (n=24)	Saline Solution (n=11)	Mean Cycle Threshold value:
The Effect of Povidone- lodine Nasal Spray on Nasopharyngeal SARSCoV-							Conversion to RT-PCR Negative From Detectable Minus-strand SARS-CoV-
2 Viral Load: A Randomized Control Trial							Overall Clinical Improvement
							Adverse Event

References:

[3] Shrivastava R, Vijay M, Maneby N, Shrivastava R. Clinical Efficacy of an Osmotic, Antiviral and Anti-inflammatory Polymeric Nasal Film to Treat Covid-19 Early-Phase Respiratory Symptoms. Open Access J. Clin. Trials. 2021 May 18;13:11-20. Doi: 10.2147/OAJCT.S307144

[7] Winchester S, John S, Jabbar K, John I. Clinical efficacy of nitric oxide nasal spray (NONS) for the treatment of mild COVID-19 infection. J Infect. 2021 Aug;83(2):237-279. Doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2021.05.009. Epub 2021 May 13. PMID: 33992687; PMCID: PMC8117664.

[9] Guenezan J, Garcia M, Strasters D, Jousselin C, Lévêque N, Frasca D, Mimoz O. Povidone Iodine Mouthwash, Gargle, and Nasal Spray to Reduce Nasopharyngeal Viral Load in Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021 Apr 1;147(4):400-401. Doi: 10.1001/jamaoto.2020.5490. PMID: 33538761; PMCID: PMC7863011.

[10] Domênico MBD, Collares K, Santos RBD, Lenz U, Antunes VP, Godinho VW, Cesca H, Ponciano THJ, Corazza PH. Hydrogen peroxide as an auxiliary treatment for COVID-19 in Brazil: a randomized double-blind clinical trial. Epidemiol Health. 2021;43:e2021051. Doi: 10.4178/epih.e2021051. Epub 2021 Aug 3. PMID: 34529913.

[11] Arefin MK. Povidone lodine (PVP-I) Oro-Nasal Spray: An Effective Shield for COVID-19 Protection for Health Care Worker (HCW), for all. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021 Apr 8:1-6. Doi: 10.1007/s12070-021-02525-9. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33846691; PMCID: PMC8026810.

[12] Zarabanda D, Vukkadala N, Phillips KM, Qian ZJ, Mfuh KO, Hatter MJ, Lee IT, Rao VK, Hwang PH, Domb G, Patel ZM, Pinsky BA, Nayak JV. The Effect of Povidone-Iodine Nasal Spray on Nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load: A Randomized Control Trial. Laryngoscope. 2021 Nov 1. Doi: 10.1002/lary.29935. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34724213.

[13] Aref ZF, Bazeed SEES, Hassan MH, Hassan AS, Rashad A, Hassan RG, Abdelmaksoud AA. Clinical, Biochemical and Molecular Evaluations of Ivermectin Mucoadhesive Nanosuspension Nasal Spray in Reducing Upper Respiratory Symptoms of Mild COVID-19. Int J Nanomedicine. 2021 Jun 15;16:4063-4072. Doi: 10.2147/IJN.S313093. PMID: 34163159; PMCID: PMC8215847.

[14] Kasiri H, Rouhani N, Salehifar E, Ghazaeian M, Fallah S. Mometasone furoate nasal spray in the treatment of patients with COVID-19 olfactory dysfunction: A randomized, double blind clinical trial. Int Immunopharmacol. 2021 Sep;98:107871. Doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2021.107871. Epub 2021 Jun 12. PMID: 34147912; PMCID: PMC8196319.

[15] Yildiz E, Koca Yildiz S, Kuzu S, Günebakan Ç, Bucak A, Kahveci OK. Comparison of the Healing Effect of Nasal Saline Irrigation with Triamcinolone Acetonide Versus Nasal Saline Irrigation alone in COVID-19 Related Olfactory Dysfunction: A Randomized Controlled Study. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021 Jul 10:1-6. Doi: 10.1007/s12070-021-02749-9. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34277384; PMCID: PMC8272442.



Appendix 3B. Table of Included Studies for Nasal Spray as Adjunct to Prevention of COVID-19 Study Characteristics of Included Studies

Study ID Title Author	Study Design	Setting/ Country	Total number of Patients Included	Population	Intervention	Comparator/ Control	Outcomes
Figueroa and the CARR-CoV-2 Trial, 2021 Efficacy of a nasal spray containing lota- Carrageenan in the prophylaxis of COVID- 19 in hospital personnel dedicated to patients care with COVID-19 disease.	Randomized Controlled Trial	Argentina	394	Healthcare Workers handling COVID-19 Patients	lota-carrageenan 0.10 mL (0.17 mg) per puff 4 times a day (n=196)	Routine care with saline solution as placebo (n=198)	Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in 28 days Symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Negative Infection Any Adverse Events Discontinuation due to Intolerance
Hosseinzadeh et al., 2021 (Pre-print) Application of nasal spray containing dimethyl sufoxide (DMSO) and ethanol during the COVID-19 pandemic may protect healthcare workers: A randomized controlled trial.	Randomized Controlled Trial	Iran	232	Healthcare Workers handling COVID-19 Patients	Dimethylsulfoxide ethanol 1 puff every 8 hours (n=116)	Routine care without placebo (n=116)	Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in 21 days

References:

[1] Figueroa JM, Lombardo ME, Dogliotti A, Flynn LP, Giugliano R, Simonelli G, Valentini R, Ramos A, Romano P, Marcote M, Michelini A, Salvado A, Sykora E, Kniz C, Kobelinsky M, Salzberg DM, Jerusalinsky D, Uchitel O. Efficacy of a Nasal Spray Containing Iota-Carrageenan in the Postexposure Prophylaxis of COVID-19 in Hospital Personnel Dedicated to Patients Care with COVID-19 Disease. Int J Gen Med. 2021 Oct 1;14:6277-6286. Doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S328486. PMID: 34629893; PMCID: PMC8493111.

[4] Hosseinzadeh A, Tavakolian A, Kia V, Ebrahimi H, Sheibani H, Binesh E, Jafari R, Mirrezaie SM, Jafarisani M, Emamian MH. Application of nasal spray containing dimethyl sufoxide (DMSO) and ethanol during the COVID-19 pandemic may protect healthcare workers: A randomized controlled trial. Available online https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10. 1101/2021.07.06.21259749v2.



Appendix 4A. Risk of Bias Summary and Risk of Bias Graph of Studies for Nasal Sprays as Adjunct to Treatment of COVID-19 Infection



Appendix 4B. Risk of Bias Summary and Risk of Bias Graph of Studies for Nasal Sprays as Adjunct to Prevention of COVID-19 Infection

:neration (selection bias) int (selection bias) its and personnel (performance bias) assessment (detection bias) data (attrition bias) eporting bias)	Random sequence generation (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) Selective reporting (reporting bias) Other bias 0% 25% 50%
ence g ncealmé riticipal ritcome ritcome (r	Low risk of bias Unclear risk of bias High risk of bias
Random sequ Allocation cor Blinding of pa Blinding of ou Incomplete ou Selective repo Other bias	L
Figueroa 2021 😛 😝 😝 😝 😝	
Hosseinzadeh 2021 😝 🖶 😝 🖶 🗣	

Appendix 5A. Forest Plots for Nasal Spray As Adjunct to Treatment of COVID-19

	Nasal S	pray	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	ABCDEFG
1.1.1 Ivermectin vers	us Routi	ne Care	2					
Aref 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	54	57 57	43	57 57	52.0% 52.0%	1.26 [1.07, 1.47] 1.26 [1.07, 1.47]	♦	$\bullet \bullet $
Total events	54		43					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.79	(P = 0)	.005)					
1.1.2 Povidone lodin	e versus	Routine	e Care					
Arefin 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	40	54 54	2	27 27	48.0% 48.0%	10.00 [2.61, 38.30] 10.00 [2.61, 38.30]		• ••••
Total events	40		2					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.36	(P = 0)	.0008)					
Total (95% CI)		111		84	100.0%	3.40 [0.13, 91.81]		
Total events	94		45					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	5.43; Ch	$i^2 = 23$.81, df =	1 (P <	0.00001	.); $I^2 = 96\%$		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.73	(P = 0)	.47)				Favours Placebo Favours Nasal Spra	v
Test for subgroup diff	erences: ($Chi^2 = 9$	0.04, df =	= 1 (P =	= 0.003),	$l^2 = 88.9\%$,
<u>Risk of bias legend</u>								
(A) Random sequence	generatio	on (selee	ction bias)				
(B) Allocation concealr	nent (sele	ction bia	as)		h:)			
(C) Blinding of particip	ants and	personi	iei (perto	rmance	blas)			
(D) Binding of outcom	ie assessn we data (at	trition h	viac)	ias)				
(E) Selective reporting	renorting	n hias)	103)					
(G) Other bias	(, eporting	, 5143)						
(0, 00.00 blub								

Figure 5A-1. Forest Plot for viral clearance

	Nasal S	pray	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	I M-H, Random, 95% CI	ABCDEFG
1.1.1 Glycerol-based	l Nasal Sp	oray (CC	OVISPRA	r by PR	EVENTIA	Healthcare)		
Shrivastava 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	42	102 102	0	98 98	14.3% 14.3%	81.70 [5.10, 1309.53] 81.70 [5.10, 1309.53]		·
Total events	42		0					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.11	(P = 0)	002)					
1.1.2 Hydrogen-Perc	oxide-bas	sed Nas	al Spray	5				
Di Domenico 2021	43	63	5	43	40.7%	5.87 [2.53, 13.61]		$\bullet \bullet $
Subtotal (95% CI)		63		43	40.7%	5.87 [2.53, 13.61]		
Total events	43		5					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.12	? (P < 0.	0001)					
1.1.3 Povidone lodin	e-based	Nasal S	prays					
Arefin 2021	2	54	0	27	12.7%	2.55 [0.13, 51.23]]	$\bullet \bullet $
Zarabanda 2021	13	24	2	11	32.2%	2.98 [0.81, 11.00]		++++ ++++
Subtotal (95% CI)		78		38	45.0%	2.91 [0.88, 9.63]		
Total events	15	2	2					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.00; Ch	$ni^2 = 0.0$	1, df =	1 (P = 0)	0.92); l² =	= 0%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.75	(P = 0)	08)					
Total (95% CI)		243		179	100.0%	6.18 [1.79, 21.37]		
Total events	100	2	7					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.80; Ch	$1i^2 = 6.6$	3, df =	3 (P =)	0.08); l ² =	= 55%	0.01 0.1 1 10 100	1
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.88	B(P = 0.	004)				Favours Nasal Spray Favours Placebo	
Test for subgroup diff	erences:	$Chi^2 = 4$.75, df =	= 2 (P =	= 0.09), l ²	f = 57.9%		
Risk of bias legend								
(A) Random sequence	e generatio	on (selec	tion bias)				
(B) Allocation concealing	ment (sele	ction bla	lS) vol (monto		. h := =)			
(C) Blinding of particip	bants and	personr	iei (perio	rmance	e blas)			
(D) Blinding of outcom	ie assessr	nent (de		ias)				
(E) incomplete outcom	(roporting		1d5)					
(C) Other hiss	(reporting	y Dias)						
(a) Other Dias								

Figure 5A-2 Forest Plot for Adverse Events

	Nasal S	pray	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	ABCDEFG
2.1.1 Relief of Sore T	Throat							
Shrivastava 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	21	28 28	6	20 20	19.7% 19.7%	2.50 [1.24, 5.05] 2.50 [1.24, 5.05]	•	9999999
Total events	21		6					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.56	(P = 0.	.01)					
2.1.2 Relief of Fever								
Shrivastava 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	101	102 102	87	98 98	29.9% 29.9%	1.12 [1.04, 1.20] 1.12 [1.04, 1.20]		
Total events	101		87					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.93	(P = 0)	.003)					
2.1.3 Relief of Loss	of Ageusi	a						
Shrivastava 2021	33	43	9	41	21.7%	3.50 [1.92, 6.37]		444444
Subtotal (95% CI)		43		41	21.7%	3.50 [1.92, 6.37]	•	
Total events	33		9					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.09	(P < 0.	.0001)					
2.1.4 Relief of Heada	iche							
Shrivastava 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	32	32 32	17	21 21	28.7% 28.7%	1.24 [1.00, 1.54] 1.24 [1.00, 1.54]	-	
Total events	32		17				·	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.94	(P = 0.	.05)					
Total (95% CI)		205		180	100.0%	1.73 [1.02, 2.94]	•	
Total events	187		119				-	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.24; Ch	$i^2 = 47$.11, df =	= 3 (P <	0.00001); $l^2 = 94\%$		1
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.02	(P = 0.	.04)			,,,	0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Eavours Placebo Eavours Nasal Spra	
Test for subgroup diff	erences: ($Chi^2 = 1$	8.99, df	^e = 3 (P	= 0.000	3), $I^2 = 84.2\%$		ly
<u>Risk of bias legend</u>								
(A) Random sequence	generatio	on (seleo	tion bias	5)				
(B) Allocation concealr	nent (sele	ction bia	as)					
(C) Blinding of particip	oants and	person	iel (perfo	ormance	e bias)			
(D) Binding of outcom	ie assessn ie data (at	trition b	iecuon b	iids)				
(E) Selective reporting	(renorting	i hias)	143/					
(G) Other bias	(, eporting	, 5145)						

Figure 5A-3. Forest Plot for relief of COVID-19 symptoms as reported in Shrivastava et. Al., 2021

	Nasal S	pray	Placel	00		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M–H, Random, 95% CI	ABCDEFG
2.2.1 Relief of Cough	ı							
Di Domenico 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	9	33 33	6	27 27	32.7% 32.7%	1.23 [0.50, 3.02] 1.23 [0.50, 3.02]		
Total events	9		6					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.45	(P = 0)	66)					
2.2.2 Relief of Ageus	ia							
Di Domenico 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	10	28 28	3	21 21	19.7% 19.7%	2.50 [0.78, 7.97] 2.50 [0.78, 7.97]		→ 999999 9 ■
Total events	10		3					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.55	(P = 0.	12)					
2.2.3 Relief of Anosn	nia							
Di Domenico 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	9	26 26	4	20 20	25.2% 25.2%	1.73 [0.62, 4.82] 1.73 [0.62, 4.82]		
Total events	9		4					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.05	(P = 0)	29)					
224045660								
2.2.4 Keller of Dyspr	iea _							
Di Domenico 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	5	16 16	2	16 16	12.0% 12.0%	2.50 [0.57, 11.05] 2.50 [0.57, 11.05]		
Total events	5		2					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.21	(P = 0.	23)					
2.2.5 Relief of Sore T	hroat							
Di Domenico 2021	2	13	3	10	10.5%	0.51 [0.10, 2.51]	• •	
Subtotal (95% CI)		13		10	10.5%	0.51 [0.10, 2.51]		
Total events	2		3					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.82	(P = 0)	41)					
Total (95% CI)		116		94	100.0%	1.53 [0.91, 2.56]		
Total events	35		18					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Cł	$ni^2 = 3.2$	2, df = 4	4 (P = 0	0.52); I ² =	= 0%		- <u>-</u>
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.62	(P = 0)	11)				Favours Placebo Favours Nasal Sr) Drav
Test for subgroup diff	erences:	$Chi^2 = 3$.22, df =	= 4 (P =	= 0.52), l ²	² = 0%		July
<u>Risk of bias legend</u>								
(A) Random sequence	generatio	on (seleo	tion bias)				
(B) Allocation concealn	nent (sele	ction bia	as)					
(C) Blinding of particip	ants and	personr	nel (perfo	rmance	bias)			
(D) Blinding of outcom	e assessr	nent (de	tection b	ias)				

Figure 5A-4. Forest Plot for relief of COVID-19 symptoms at 4-6 days as reported in Di Domenico et. Al., 2021

Appendix 5B. Forest Plot for Nasal Spray as Adjunct to Prevention of COVID-19

	Nasal S	pray	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M–H, Random, 95% (CI ABCDEFG
1.1.1 Carrageenan-b	ased Nas	al Spra	у					
Figueroa 2021	2	196	10	198	65.3%	0.20 [0.04, 0.91]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		196		198	65.3%	0.20 [0.04, 0.91]		
Total events	2		10					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.08	(P = 0)	.04)					
1.1.2 DMSO-Ethanol	-based N	lasal Sp	oray					
Hosseinzadeh 2021	1	116	8	116	34.7%	0.13 [0.02, 0.98]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		116		116	34.7%	0.13 [0.02, 0.98]		
Total events	1		8					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.98	(P = 0)	.05)					
Total (95% CI)		312		314	100.0%	0.17 [0.05, 0.58]	\bullet	
Total events	3		18					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.00; Ch	$i^2 = 0.1$.4, df =	1 (P = 0)	0.71); I ² =	= 0%		1000
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.85	(P = 0.	.004)		-		Favours Nasal Spray Favours P	lacebo
Test for subgroup diff	ferences: ($Chi^2 = 0$	0.14, df =	= 1 (P =	= 0.71), ľ	$r^{2} = 0\%$		
<u>Risk of bias legend</u>								
(A) Random sequence	generatio	on (selec	ction bias	5)				
(B) Allocation concealr	nent (sele	ction bia	as)					
(C) Blinding of particip	oants and	personr	iel (perfo	rmance	e bias)			
(D) Blinding of outcom	ie assessn	nent (de	tection b	ias)				
(E) incomplete outcom	ie data (at		ias)					
(F) Selective reporting	(reporting	g plas)						
(G) Other blas								

Figure 5B. Forest plot for the risk of developing COVID-19

Appendix 6A. GRADE Evidence Profile – Nasal spray as Adjunctive Treatment for COVID-19

Author(s): Christopher G. Manalo, MD

Question: Nasal spray compared to placebo for treatment of COVID-19 infection Setting: Mild and Moderate COVID-19 in the General Population Bibliography

Certainty assessment № of patients Effect Certainty Importance Nº of Relative Study Absolute Risk of bias Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Other considerations nasal sprays placebo (95% CI) studies (95% CI) design

SARS-CoV-2 Clearance in Ivermectin-based Nasal Spray

1	55dministra trials	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	54/57 (94.7%)	43/57 (75.4%)	RR 1.26 (1.07 to 1.47)	196 more per 1,000 (from 53 more to 255 more)	CRITICAL
										355 more)	

SARS-CoV-2 Clearance in Povidone Iodine-based Nasal Spray

1	55dministra trials	very serious ^a	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	40/54 (74.1%)	2/27 (7.4%)	RR 10.00 (2.61 to 38.30)	667 more per 1,000 (from 119 more to 1,000 more)	CRITICAL
										more)	

Forward Transmission

1	55dministra trials	seriousª	not serious	not serious	serious ^ь	none	6/51 (11.76%)	6/35 (17.14%)	RR 0.6863 (0.2400 to 1.9500)	260 fewer per 1,000 (from 630 fewer to 787 more)		CRITICAL
---	-----------------------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------------------	------	---------------	---------------	-------------------------------------	--	--	----------

Cl: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

Explanations

a. Unclear to high risk of bias due to lack of allocation concealment and blinding b. Risk interval estimates cross the line of no effect

			Certainty a	ssessment			№ of p	atients	Effec	t		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	nasal sprays	placebo	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty	Importance
Relief of S	ore Throat Repo	orted in Shrivastav	va et. Al., 2021									
1	56dministra trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	21/28 (75.0%)	6/20 (30.0%)	RR 2.50 (1.24 to 5.05)	450 more per 1,000 (from 72 more to 1,000 more)	⊕⊕⊕ _{High}	CRITICAL
Relief of Fe	ever Reported ir	n Shrivastava et. A	N., 2021									
1	56dministra trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	101/102 (99.0%)	87/98 (88.8%)	RR 1.12 (1.04 to 1.20)	107 more per 1,000 (from 36 more to 178 more)	⊕⊕⊕ _{High}	CRITICAL
Relief of A	geusia in Shriva	astava et. Al., 2021										
1	56dministra trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	33/43 (76.7%)	9/41 (22.0%)	RR 3.50 (1.92 to 6.37)	549 more per 1,000 (from 202 more to 1,000 more)	⊕⊕⊕ _{High}	CRITICAL
Relief of H	eadache Report	ed in Shrivastava	et. Al., 2021									
1	56dministra trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious ^a	none	32/32 (100.0%)	17/21 (81.0%)	RR 1.24 (1.00 to 1.54)	194 more per 1,000 (from 0 fewer to 437 more)		CRITICAL

Cl: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

Explanations

a. Unclear to high risk of bias due to lack of allocation concealment and blinding b. Risk interval estimates cross the line of no effect

			Certainty a	ssessment			№ of p	oatients	Effect Abs			
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	nasal sprays	placebo	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
Relief of C	ough Reported	Di Domenico et. A	ıl., 2021									
1	57dministra trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious∘	none	9/33 (27.3%)	6/27 (22.2%)	RR 1.23 (0.50 to 3.02)	51 more per 1,000 (from 111 fewer to 449 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ _{Moderate}	CRITICAL
Relief of A	geusia Reporte	l in Di Domenico (et. Al., 2021									
1	57dministra trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious∘	none	10/28 (35.7%)	3/21 (14.3%)	RR 2.50 (0.78 to 7.97)	214 more per 1,000 (from 31 fewer to 996 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate	CRITICAL
Relief of A	nosmia Reporte	d in Di Domenico	et. Al 2021						•			
1	57dministra trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious∘	none	9/26 (34.6%)	4/20 (20.0%)	RR 1.73 (0.62 to 4.82)	146 more per 1,000 (from 76 fewer to 764 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate	CRITICAL
Relief of D	yspnea Reporte	d in Di Domenico	et. Al., 2021									
1	57dministra trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious∘	none	5/16 (31.3%)	2/16 (12.5%)	RR 2.50 (0.57 to 11.05)	188 more per 1,000 (from 54 fewer to 1,000 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate	CRITICAL
Relief of L	oss of Sore Thre	oat Reported in Di	Domenico et. Al.,	2021								
1	57dministra trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious∘	none	2/13 (15.4%)	3/10 (30.0%)	RR 0.51 (0.10 to 2.50)	147 fewer per 1,000 (from 270 fewer to 450 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate	CRITICAL
Adverse E	vents											
5	57dministra trials	seriousª	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	114/261 (43.7%)	13/196 (6.6%)	RR 4.59 (1.56 to 13.53)	238 more per 1,000 (from 37 more to 831 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ Moderate	CRITICAL

Cl: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

Explanations

- a. Unclear to high risk of bias due to lack of allocation concealment and blinding
- b. Risk ratio crosses line of no effect
- c. Risk interval estimates cross the line of no effect

Appendix 6B. GRADE Evidence Profile – Nasal Spray for Prevention

Author(s): Christopher G. Manalo, MD

Question: Nasal spray compared to placebo for prevention of COVID-19 infection

Setting: Healthcare Workers

Bibliography:

[1] Figueroa JM, Lombardo ME, Dogliotti A, Flynn LP, Giugliano R, Simonelli G, Valentini R, Ramos A, Romano P, Marcote M, Michelini A, Salvado A, Sykora E, Kniz C, Kobelinsky M, Salzberg DM, Jerusalinsky D, Uchitel O. Efficacy of a Nasal Spray Containing lota-Carrageenan in the Postexposure Prophylaxis of COVID-19 in Hospital Personnel Dedicated to Patients Care with COVID-19 Disease. Int J Gen Med. 2021 Oct 1;14:6277-6286. Doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S328486. PMID: 34629893; PMCID: PMC8493111.

[4] Hosseinzadeh A, Tavakolian A, Kia V, Ebrahimi H, Sheibani H, Binesh E, Jafari R, Mirrezaie SM, Jafarisani M, Emamian MH. Application of nasal spray containing dimethyl sufoxide (DMSO) and ethanol during the COVID-19 pandemic may protect healthcare workers: A randomized controlled trial. Available online https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.07.06.21259749v2.

		Certainty assessment					Nº of p	atients	Effec	t		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	nasal spray	placebo	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)	Certainty	Importance

Incidence of COVID-19 Infection in IC-based Nasal Spray

Incidence of COVID-19 Infection in DMSO-Ethanol-based Nasal Spray

1	58dministra trial	serious ^b	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	1/116 (0.9%)	8/116 (6.9%)	RR 0.13 (0.02 to 0.98)	60 fewer per 1,000 (from 68 fewer to 1 fewer)	Moderate	CRITICAL
---	----------------------	----------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	------	--------------	--------------	-------------------------------	---	----------	----------

Development of Adverse Events in IC-based Nasal Spray

1	58dministra trial	seriousª	not serious	not serious	serious	none	34/196 (17.3%)	30/198 (15.2%)	RR 1.14 (0.73 to 1.79)	21 more per 1,000 (from 41 fewer to 120 more)		IMPORTANT
---	----------------------	----------	-------------	-------------	---------	------	----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	---	--	-----------

Discontinuation Due to Intolerance

1	58dministra trials	seriousa	not serious	not serious	serious∘	none	2/196 (1.0%)	3/198 (1.5%)	RR 0.67 (0.11 to 3.99)	5 fewer per 1,000 (from 13 fewer to 45 more)		IMPORTANT
---	-----------------------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------	------	--------------	--------------	----------------------------------	--	--	-----------

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

Explanations

a. Incomplete outcome assessment (Figueroa et al., 2021)
b. Lack of patient blinding and lack of placebo in one of the trials (Hosseinzadeh et al., 2021)
c. Confidence interval of risk estimates cross the line of no effect

Appendix 7. Table of Ongoing Studies Study Characteristics of Ongoing Studies (7)

Title	Intervention	Comparator/	Patients/	Outcomes
Identifier		Control	Population Recruited	
Expected Completion Date				
Nitric Oxide Nasal Spray (NONS) as Prevention for Treatment of Individuals at Risk of Exposure to COVID-19 Infection NCT05109611 ECD: 31 May 2022	The Sponsor designed a dual chamber nasal spray bottle for NORS administration. Components are mixed from two chambers to create the final NO- producing formulation. The liquid contains NO at 0.11 ppm*hour, which acts as a viricidal agent. Instructions for storing, preparing, and administering the study treatment will be provided to participants. Other Name: Nasal spray	The Sponsor designed a dual chamber nasal spray bottle for NORS administration. The bottle will be filled with normal saline before being provided to the participant. Other Name: Normal saline, 0.9% saline	 Inclusion Criteria: Each participant must meet the following criteria to be enrolled in this study. 1. At least aged 18 years old at the time of consent. 2. If female, be surgically sterile or postmenopausal (no menses for at least 12 months), or if of childbearing potential, must be using an acceptable method of contraception (other than a combination estrogen/progestin hormonal contraceptive) for at least 1 month prior to Day 1, such as an intrauterine device (IUD), implant, or two forms of the following: diaphragm, cervical cap, patch, condom, spermicide, or sponge. In addition, females of childbearing potential must agree to continue to use their method of birth control for the study and 12 weeks following discharge from the study. 3. If male, be surgically sterile, or agree to use 	 Primary Outcome Measures : To assess the efficacy of NONS in the reduction of risk of COVID-19 infection. [Time Frame: 28 days] Confirmed positive COVID-19 test (Both antigen and SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR acceptable) by Day 28. Secondary Outcome Measures : To assess the efficacy of NONS in prevention of severe COVID-19. [Time Frame: 28 days] Hospitalization or ER/ED visits for COVID-19/flu-like symptoms by Day 28. Assess tolerability of NONS in participants with all participants including those with COVID-19. [Time Frame: 28 days] Aes and discontinuation of treatment.

appropriate contraception (latex condom with spermicide) when engaging in sexual activity and agree to not donate sperm for the duration of the study and 12 weeks following discharge from the study. 4. Be in good health (ie, no acute illnesses or hospitalizations within 30 days of the study start, no planned procedures during study participation, and no newly diagnosed chronic illnesses that are not deemed stable by the participant's primary care physician), in the opinion of the Investigator, based on medical history (ie, absence of any	
start, no planned	
procedures during	
study participation,	
and no newly	
diagnosed chronic	
linesses that are not	
deemed stable by the	
care physician) in the	
opinion of the	
Investigator, based on	
medical history (ie,	
absence of any	
clinically relevant	
abnormality) during	
Screening.	
5. Be able to understand	
and provide written,	
6 Must have access to	
the internet and a	
device that reliability	
connects to the	
internet and is able to	
dial into Telehealth	
checkups and study	
related assessments.	
7. Must be able to	
receive stuay product	
their home (ie. no Post	
Office Boxes)	
Exclusion Criteria:	

	Participa	nts who meet any of the	
	following	criteria will be excluded	
	from the	study	
	1	Bortioiponto with ony	
	1.	Participants with any	
		respiratory infection,	
		flu-like symptoms, or	
		unexplained fever or	
		chills during the week	
		phor to Screening.	
	2.	Participants with any	
		prior history of SARS-	
		CoV-2 infection.	
	З	Particinants who have	
	0.	received any dose of	
		SARS-Cov-2 vaccine.	
	4.	Participants who use	
		intranasally dosed	
		drugs prescriptions or	
		over-the-counter	
		medications such as	
		fluticasone.	
	5.	Participants who	
		underwent a previous	
		tracheostomy.	
	6.	Participants who are	
		receiving concomitant	
		treatment of	
		respiratory support	
		(involving any form of	
	_	oxygen therapy).	
	7.	Females who are	
		breastfeeding,	
		pregnant, or	
		attempting to become	
		pregnant	
	8	Participants who have	
	0.	any other condition	
		that is the estates of	
		that, in the opinion of	
		the Investigator, would	
		interfere with a	
		participant's ability to	
		adhere to the protocol	
		(eq. participants whom	
		are mentally or	
		neurologically disabled	
		and whom are	
		considered not fit to	
		their participation in	
		the study), interfere	
		with assessment of the	

Title	Intervention	Comparator/	investigational product, or compromise the safety of the participant or the quality of the data.	Outcomes
Identifier Expected Completion Date	Intervention	Control	Population Recruited	Outcomes
GLS-1200 Topical Nasal Spray to Prevent SARS-CoV-2 Infection (COVID-19) NCT04408183 ECD: December 2022	GLS-1200 is given as a nasal spray using an atomizer	Placebo is given as nasal spray using an atomizer	 Inclusion Criteria: Age 18 or older Able to provide informed consent Able and willing to comply with study procedures Able and willing to utilize an approved form of pregnancy prevention for women of child bearing potential through to the end of treatment Exclusion Criteria: Know allergy to quinine, quinidine, or mefloquine Confirmed prior positive test for SARS- CoV-2 Treatment within the past 2 weeks with chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, or remdesivir Pregnancy or documentation of pregnancy by pre- treatment urine test or breast feeding or plans to become pregnant during the course of the study 	 Primary Outcome Measures : Evaluate the number of GLS-1200 topical nasal spray adverse events as assessed by CTCAE v5.0 Time Frame: 4 weeks of treatment] Incidence of SARS- CoV-2 infection, confirmed by PCR relative to treatment group [Time Frame: 4 weeks of treatment] Secondary Outcome Measures : Symptom score of documented SARS- CoV-2 infection relative to treatment group with a higher score being a worse outcome. Time Frame: 4 weeks of treatment]

Title Identifier	Intervention	Comparator/ Control	Patients/ Population Recruited	Outcomes
Expected Completion Date				
Title Identifier Expected Completion Date Carrageenan Nasal Spray for COVID-19 Prophylaxis NCT04590365 ECD: December 2021	Intervention lota-carrageenan nasal and throat spray (verum <i>Coldamaris</i> plus i.e. lota-Carrageenan 0.12% plus 0.04% Kappa-Carrageenan in 0.5% saline)	Comparator/ Control Saline nasal and throat spray (placebo <i>Coldamaris sine</i> i.e. 0.5% saline)	Patients/ Population Recruited Inclusion Criteria: Age ≥18 years; Study participants who have given informed consent, and received a copy of signed consent form prior to any study related procedures; Healthcare professionals (nurses, doctors, allied health professionals, health care assistants, operating department practitioners) working	Outcomes Primary Outcome Measures : 1. Rate of COVID-19 infection [Time Frame: 9-12 months] Acquisition of COVID- 19 infection as confirmed by positive PCR swab taken at the time of symptom onset or positive serology measured 2 weeks after symptom onset or seroconversion at the end of the trial (via trial entry and exit serology) to detect
			 practitioners) working in Swansea Bay University Health Board initially as well as any other volunteers >18 years who have not previously tested positive for COVID19 or been vaccinated. Subjects agree to refrain from taking over the counter products intended to 	serology) to detect asymptomatic infection during the study period Secondary Outcome Measures : 1. Duration of COVID-19 infection [Time Frame: 9-12 months] Time taken for all symptoms to resolve (days)
			prevent, intervene in, or treat colds/flu, starting at study entry and continuing through week 10 of the study. Exclusion Criteria: Capacity, consent and conflicts of interest:	 Hospitalisation due to COVD-19 infection [Time Frame: 9-12 months] Length of hospital and intensive care stay (days)
			 The person lacks capacity; The subject is related to any study personnel or has any other close tion or particular of 	 Severity of COVID-19 infection [Time Frame: 9-12 months] Morality rate
			ties or conflicts of interest with the research team or the study sponsor;	 Quality of life of nasal spray use

	[Time Frame: 0.12
The subject has received any investigational drug or participated in a clinical trial within 4 weeks of entry to this study.	months] Usability of spray and effect on cost and quality adjusted life years
Unable to complete the daily symptom tracker	
Unable to communicate in English or Welsh Comorbidities:	
 Known hypersensitivity or allergy to any component of the test product; 	
 Severe cardiovascular, endocrinological, neurological, respiratory, gastrointestinal disease, immune 	
deficiency, autoimmune disease or a history or any current disease that is considered by the investigator as a reason for exclusion:	
 Severe nasal septal deviation, nasal polyps or other non-infectious condition that could cause nasal obstruction; 	
 A history of any nasal or sinus surgery in the past that in the opinion of the investigator may influence the symptoms or spray administration; 	
An unrelated infection that in the opinion of the investigator may influence symptoms	

			 (gastrointestinal infection, other viral diseases such as measles, mumps); COVID-19 Status: Participants with proven COVID-19 infection (previous positive serology and/or viral PCR swab) Participants that have already received their vaccination or already booked in for their vaccination Recent treatment of common cold that in the opinion of the investigator may influence symptoms (see Table 2) Participants taking any of the medications outlined in Table 2 during the trial period will be excluded 	
Title Identifier Expected Completion Date	Intervention	Comparator/ Control	Patients/ Population Recruited	Outcomes
Prophylactic Treatment With Carragelose Nasal Spray to Prevent SARS-CoV-2, COVID- 19 Infections in Health Care Workers NCT04681001 ECD: January 2021	 1 puff of Coldamaris pro. Nasal spray into each nostril (1.2 mg/ml; 140 µl per puff) and 3 puffs of Coldamaris pro. Nasal spray into mouth Weekly sampling for testing of SARS-CoV-2 and respiratory virus panel (Influenza A, Human Metapneumovirus, Influenza A – subtype H1 Adenovirus, 	 Placebo Comparator: Coldamaris sine One puff per nostril three puffs into mouth 	 Inclusion Criteria: Age ≥18 years Study participants that have given informed consent before any study related procedures are performed, and received a copy of signed consent form Healthcare workers (nurses, doctors) employed and working at Gesundheitsverbund 	Primary Outcome Measures : 1. Presence of COVID-19 symptoms including symptoms of respiratory viral infection documented in a diary [Time Frame: 84 days] daily assessment of subjective COVID-19 symptom score Secondary Outcome Measures : 1. Nasal swabs for analysis of viruses by

Influenza A – subtype H3 Parainfluenza 1, Influenza A – subtype 2009 H1N1, Parainfluenza 2, Influenza B Parainfluenza 3, SARS-CoV-2 Parainfluenza 4, Coronavirus HKU1 Respiratory Syncytial Virus A, Coronavirus N63L, Respiratory Syncytial Virus B, Coronavirus OC43, Rhinovirus/Enterovirus , Coronavirus 229E,	• Exclusior	Healthcare workers looking after confirmed COVID-19 positive patients in a secondary care setting such as Accident and Emergency departments, wards, operating theatres, outpatient departments, High Dependency Unit or Intensive Care Units o Criteria: The subject is related to any study personnel or has any other close		PCR [Time Frame: 84 days] weekly assessment of SARS-CoV-2, Influenza A, Human Metapneumovirus, Influenza A – subtype H1, Adenovirus, Influenza A – subtype H3, Parainfluenza 1, Influenza A – subtype 2009 H1N1, Parainfluenza 2, Influenza B, Parainfluenza 3, Parainfluenza 4, Coronavirus HKU1,
Human Bocavirus) At begin and end of trial blood samples will be taken for differential blood count and for serology. The primary end point of the is the presence of COVID-19 symptoms including symptoms of respiratory viral infection. The primary hypothesis is a reduction of symptom days caused by SARS-CoV-2 and/or	•	ties or conflicts of interest with the study sponsor. The subject has received any investigational drug or participated in a clinical trial within 4 weeks of entry to this study Known hypersensitivity or allergy to any component of the test product	2	Respiratory Syncytial Virus A, Coronavirus N63L, Respiratory Syncytial Virus B, Coronavirus OC43, Rhinovirus/Enterovirus, Coronavirus 229E, Human Bocavirus, Chlamydophila pneumoniae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Legionella pneumophila
respiratory viral infection in health care workers treated with Coldamaris pro. Nasal spray compared to placebo treated ones	•	Severe cardiovascular, endocrinological, neurological, respiratory, gastrointestinal disease or a history or any current disease that is considered by the investigator as a reason for exclusion. The subject has a clinically significant disease that could interfere with participation in the study, with the intervention being studied, or with the	3.	against SARS-CoV-2 [Time Frame: 84 days] 66dmin and end of trial Number of viral co- infections dedected by PCR [Time Frame: 84 days] weekly nasal swabs for analysis of viruses

	evaluation of symptoms. Specif exclusions include immune deficienc autoimmune disea substantive cardiovascular, endocrinological, neurological, respiratory, or gastrointestinal disease.	ic 9 y, ase,
	 Asymptomatic dis such as elevated pressure or choles will not be a reaso exclusion. Those well-controlled me illness (e.g. depression, anxie will be eligible. Th enrolling physicial be empowered to exclude potential subjects that s/he deems unreliable. 	ease blood sterol on for with intal ty) e n will
	 Pregnant women the time of recruit will be excluded for the study 	at nent om
	 Current medicatio other than oral contraception, that considered by the investigator as a reason for exclusi e.g. intranasal medication Participation in an antiviral clinical tri 	n t is on other
		-

Title Identifier Expected Completion Date	Intervention	Comparator/ Control	Patients/ Population Recruited	Outcomes
Prophylaxis in COVID-19 Healthcare Agents by Intensive Treatment With Ivermectin and Iota-carrageenan NCT04701710 ECD: 23 February 2021	The EG received Ivermectin orally 2 drops of 6 mg = 12 mg every 7 days, and lota- Carrageenan 6 sprays per day for 4 weeks. Standard biosecurity care	No Intervention: Control Group Standard biosecurity care	Inclusion Criteria: • Personnel who perform patient care and administrative tasks: • medical personnel, • nurses, • kinesiologist s, • orderlies,	Primary Outcome Measures : 1. Pearson's Chi-square and proportion test. [Time Frame: 4 week] Number of subjects who were diagnosed with COVID-19 in EG and CG. Secondary Outcome Measures : 1. Odd Batio
			 o ordernes, 68dministrative, ve, cleaning personnel. Exclusion Criteria: People under 18 years of age, Pregnant or actively breastfeeding women, Presenting symptoms related to COVID-19 disease, Concurrent autoimmune or chronic disease, Immunosuppression, Active infectious diseases, History of previous SARSCoV-2 infection confirmed by RT-PCR or rapid test. 	 Odd Ratio, probabilistic test [Time Frame: 4 week] Contagion risk. Severity and progression of symptoms. Logistic regression test [Time Frame: 4 week] Prophylactic effect associated with patient's preexisting comorbidity.

			 Some type of allergy to chlorinated agents or hypersensitivity to HclO Known diagnosis of upper airway respiratory disease where COVID-19 such as the common cold, sinusitis, pharyngitis, laryngotracheitis and epiglottitis or lower such as bronchiolitis, pneumonia, and mixed conditions have been ruled out. Previous COVID19 infection determined by positive PCR or positive serum antibody titers. Any condition that in the principal investigator's discretion renders the subject ineligible to participate in the study. 	
Title Identifier Expected Completion Date	Intervention	Comparator/ Control	Patients/ Population Recruited	Outcomes
Nitric Oxide Releasing Solutions to Prevent and Treat Mild/Moderate COVID-19 Infection NCT04337918 ECD: 10 February 2021	NORS treatment will consist of daily self-administration of three routes; Nitric Oxide Gargle (NOG) every morning, Nitric Oxide Nasopharyngeal Irrigation (NONI) every evening, and Nitric Oxide Nasal Spray (NONS) up to 5 times per day. Other Name: NOG, NONI, NONS	Standard Precautions	 Prevention Study Inclusion Criteria: 1. Capable of understanding and providing signed informed consent and ability to adhere to the requirements and restrictions of this protocol; 2. Men and Women ≥ 19 years of age unless local laws dictate otherwise; 3. English speaking; 4. Must be willing to use an adequate form of contraception (or abstinence) from the time of the first dose with the IMP until after the last dose of IMP. 5. Be symptom-free at screening/baseline. 6. Work/live in contact with COVID-19 infected patients or scheduled to work in a setting with high likelihood of contact with COVID-19 infected patients. 	 Primary Outcome Measures : Prevention Study: Measure the effect of NORS on the prevention of COVID-19 infection among health care professionals at risk of exposure to COVID-19 [Time Frame: 14 days] Measure the proportion of subjects with either swab positive COVID-19 or presentation of clinical symptoms as measured by fatigue with either fever >37.2 (oral)and/or a persistent cough. 2. Treatment Sub Study: Measure the efficacy of NORS at reducing the progression of COVID-19 [Time Frame: 21 days] Measure the proportion of participants requiring requiring hospitalization for COVID-19/[L-19/flu-like symptoms and/or needing

_				
P	Prevention 1.	Study Exclusion Criteria: Prior Tracheostomy;		oxygen therapy, BIPAP/CPAP, intubation
	2	Concomitant treatment of		and mechanical
	2.	respiratory support		ventilation following
				ventilation following
		(involving any form of		enrollment.
		oxygen therapy);		
	3.	Any clinical		
		contraindications, as	Secondary	Outcome Measures :
		judged by the attending	1	Prevention Study:
		nhysician:		Measure the effect of
	4	Any symptoms consistent		NORS on the provention
	4.			NORS on the prevention
	_	with COVID-19;		of progression of COVID-
	5.	Pregnant;		19 [Time Frame: 21
	6.	Mentally or neurologically		days]
		disabled patients who are		Measure the proportion of
		considered not fit to		participants requiring
		consent to their		requiring hospitalization
		participation in the study:		for COVID-19/flu-like
	7	Prior COV/ID_{-19} infection		symptoms and/or peeding
	rootmont	Sub study inclusion		symptoms and/or needing
	reatment	Sub study inclusion		oxygen therapy,
	uteria:			BIPAP/CPAP, Intubation
	1.	Capable of understanding		and mechanical
		and providing signed		ventilation following
		informed consent and		enrollment.
		ability to adhere to the		
		requirements and	2	Prevention Study:
		restrictions of this		Measure the tolerability of
		protocol:		NOPS treatments
	2	M_{op} and $M_{\text{op}} > 10$		Time Fremes 21 days 1
	Ζ.	Men and women ≥ 19		[Time Frame: 21 days]
		years of age unless local		Measure the tolerability of
		laws dictate otherwise;		the NORS treatments as
	3.	English speaking;		determined by number of
	4.	Must be willing to use an		adverse events, pain,
		adequate form of		discomfort or
		contraception (or		discontinuations of
		abstinence) from the time		treatment
		of the first dose with the		
		IMP until ofter the last	2	Treatment Sub Study:
		deep of MD:	5.	Measure the virusidel
	-	Desitive COVID 10 to st and		
	5.	Positive COVID-19 test of		enect of NORS
		presentation of clinical		reatments
		symptoms defined as		[Time Frame: 21 days]
		fatigue with either fever		Measure the median
		>37.2 (oral) and/or a		number of days to
		persistent cough.		negative conversion of
Т	Freatment	Sub Study Exclusion		SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR
	Criteria:			from a nasonharyngeal
	1	Prior Tracheostomy:		swabe
	י. כ	Concomitant treatment of		5₩465.
	Ζ.	Concomitant treatment of		T
		respiratory support	4.	Treatment Sub Study:
		(involving any form of		Determine effect of NORS
		oxygen therapy); Any		on the speed of clinical
		clinical contraindications,		recovery
		as judged by the		[Time Frame: 21 days]
		attending physician.		Determine the time to
	3	Mentally or neurologically		clinical recovery in
	5.	disabled nationts who are		participante with COV/ID
		usableu patients who are		A human a suring the
		considered not fit to		19 by measuring the

	4. 5.	consent to their participation in the study; Pregnant; Currently hospitalized for symptoms of COVID-19.		median number of days from enrollment to discharge (if admitted), or to normalization of fever (defined as <36.6°C from axillary site, or < 37.2°C from oral site or < 37.8°C from rectal or tympanic site), respiratory rate (< 24 bpm while breathing room air).
			5.	Treatment Sub Study: Determine the reduction in clinical symptoms [Time Frame: 21 days] Measure the reduction clinical symptoms in participants with COVID- 19 by the magnitude of the change in Modified Jackson Cold Score Diary Score (5-unit change is a substantial clinical benefit).
			6.	Treatment & Sub Study: Determine positive sero- conversion for SARS- CoV-2 [Time Frame: 21 days] Measure the proportion of participants that have a positive sero-conversion for SARS-CoV-2

ECD = Expected date of Completion